Regis University

ePublications at Regis University

Regis University Student Publications (comprehensive collection)

Regis University Student Publications

Spring 2014

Substance Use Disorders and Stigma

Shirley S. Patrick *Regis University*

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.regis.edu/theses

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Patrick, Shirley S., "Substance Use Disorders and Stigma" (2014). *Regis University Student Publications (comprehensive collection)*. 179. https://epublications.regis.edu/theses/179

This Thesis - Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Regis University Student Publications at ePublications at Regis University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Regis University Student Publications (comprehensive collection) by an authorized administrator of ePublications at Regis University. For more information, please contact epublications@regis.edu.

Regis University Rueckert-Hartman College for Health Professions Loretto Heights School of Nursing Doctor of Nursing Practice Capstone Project



Use of the materials available in the Regis University Capstone Collection ("Collection") is limited and restricted to those users who agree to comply with the following terms of use. Regis University reserves the right to deny access to the Collection to any person who violates these terms of use or who seeks to or does alter, avoid or supersede the functional conditions, restrictions and limitations of the Collection.

The site may be used only for lawful purposes. The user is solely responsible for knowing and adhering to any and all applicable laws, rules, and regulations relating or pertaining to use of the Collection.

All content in this Collection is owned by and subject to the exclusive control of Regis University and the authors of the materials. It is available only for research purposes and may not be used in violation of copyright laws or for unlawful purposes. The materials may not be downloaded in whole or in part without permission of the copyright holder or as otherwise authorized in the "fair use" standards of the U.S. copyright laws and regulations.

Substance Use Disorders and Stigma

Shirley S. Patrick

Submitted as Partial Fulfillment for the Doctor of Nursing Practice Degree

Regis University

March 21, 2014

Copyright Page

Copyright 2014 Shirley S Patrick. All Rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the author's prior written permission. STIGMA

Abstract

Stigma is a problem for persons with substance abuse addictions and impacts access to health care services. The purpose of this project was to examine an educational program's effectiveness in reducing stigmatizing attitudes of health care professionals towards persons with substance abuse addictions. An educational program including a PowerPoint on substance abuse and stigma was presented to one group of nursing students and one group of Registered Nurses in a nurse residency program and pre-tests and post-tests completed. Results were calculated utilizing the paired samples *t*-test. At the < 0 .05 level for a 2-tailed test only pairs seven, eight, and nine of the pre-test and post-test answers were statistically significant. Recommendations for future practice include educational programs targeting health care professionals. Stigma, social distance and discrimination are major obstacles to persons with addictions in obtaining mental health services.

Key words: stigma, substance abuse, addictions.

Executive Summary

Substance Abuse Addictions and Stigma

Problem Identification

Stigma is a significant barrier for persons with substance abuse addictions for assessing health care and substance abuse treatment services. Health-care providers may hold negative beliefs about persons with substance abuse disorders such that they "overuse system resources, are not vested in their own health, abuse the system through drug-seeking and diversion, and fail to adhere to recommended care" (Livingston, Milne, Fang & Amari, 2011, p. 40).

Purpose

The purpose of this project was to examine an educational program's effectiveness in reducing stigmatizing attitudes of health care professionals towards persons with substance abuse addictions.

Goals

The goals of the capstone project were to present an educational program aimed at health care professionals and reduce stigmatizing attitudes of health care professionals.

Objectives

Objectives were the reduction of stigmatizing and degrading attitudes of health care professionals, thereby preventing decreased mental and physical health service utilization by persons with substance abuse addictions. The short term objectives were to increase awareness, reduce stigmatizing attitudes of health care professionals, and increase empathy towards persons with substance abuse addictions by health care providers.

Plan

The Logic Model and SWOT analysis was completed and approval by the ethics boards from Regis University, the regional medical center, and the local community college were obtained. The educational presentation and PowerPoint on *Substance Use Disorders and Stigma* was presented to both Registered Nurses (RN's) in the Nurse Residency Program and students in the community college nursing program.

Outcomes and Results

Participants completed the pre-tests and post-tests and data was imputed into the SPSS statistical software. The *t*- test for dependent groups was conducted. The independent variable was pre-exposure and post-exposure to the educational program on substance abuse addictions and stigma. The dependent variables were responses to the pre-test questions and responses to the post-test questions. At the < 0 .05 level for a 2-tailed test only pairs seven, eight, and nine were statistically significant. Question seven suggested if most employers would hire someone who has been treated for substance abuse addiction if he/she was qualified for this position (.005). Question eight asked about being willing to have someone as a close friend who has been treated for substance abuse (.003). Question nine stated persons with substance abuse are generally not responsible citizens (.041). Results indicated this one hour educational program modified only three out of ten questions on the pretest/posttest. However this also suggests stigma is an important factor for consideration in patient care.

I. Preli	minary pagesi
A.	Copyright Pageii
B.	Abstract Pageiii
C.	Executive Summaryiv
D.	Table of Contentsv
E.	List of Tablesvi
F.	List of Appendicesvii
II. Pro	blem Recognition and Definition1
A.	Substance Dependence as a Chronic Disease
B.	Definitions and Types of Stigma2
C.	PICO Statement
	i. Problem Statement
III L	iterature Review
A	Theoretical Foundation
B.	Mutual Help Groups and Stigma
C.	Stigma Attached to Mental Illness
D.	Stigma in Substance AbuseAddictions7
E.	Prejudice, Stereotypes and Discrimination
F.	Rational for Research Project9
G	Consequences of Stigma10
H	Education and Training on Stigma11

I. Recommendations for Future Study and Substance Abuse Addictions Advocacy1	2
J. Implications of Stigma on Practice1	2
IV. Project Plan and Evaluation	13
A. Market Risk Analysis and SWOT Analysis	13
B. Stakeholders and Project Team1	4
C. Vision and Mission1	5
D. Project Objectives1	5
V. Evaluation Plan1	6
A. Logic Model1	16
B. Methodology and Measurement	16
i. Description of Population and Methodology	16
ii. <i>t</i> -test1	7
iii. Threats to Validity and Reliability18	8
iv. Timeframe	19
v. Budget and Resources	20
VI. Project Findings and Results2	0
A. Limitations	24
B. Instrument Validity and Reliability2	4
C. Implications for Practice and Conclusions2	:7

List of Tables

I.	Literature Search Items	. 5
II.	SWOT Analysis	.14
III.	Threats to Validity and Reliability	14
IV.	Time Frame	19
V.	Budget and Resources	. 20
VI.	SSPS Output Frequency Tables (6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19)22	2-26

List of Appendices

A. Systematic Review of the Literature	.24
B. Logic Model	.80.
C. Pretests/Posttests	.82.
D .CITI Training Certificate	84.
E. Regis IRB Approval Letter	6
F. CRMC Approval letter	87
G. LCCC Approval Letter	98
H .Paired Samples Test Paired Differences	99
I. Paired Samples Corelations	101

Substance Use Disorders and Stigma

This paper presents the capstone project on substance use disorders and stigma. The purpose of this study was to examine an educational program's effectiveness in reducing stigmatizing attitudes of health care professionals towards persons with substance abuse addictions. Stigma contributes to adverse outcomes for persons with substance abuse disorders including "poor mental and physical health, non-completion of substance use treatment, delayed recovery and reintegration processes and increased involvement in risky behaviors" (Livingston, Milne, Fang & Amari, 2011). Substance abuse stigma is a significant barrier for assessing health care and substance abuse treatment services (2011). Substance abuse disorders are considered chronic diseases.

Problem Recognition and Definition

Substance Dependence as a Chronic Disease

Hartwell, Brady, Oslin, and Hermann (2012) write accumulating evidence supports substance dependence as a chronic disease. "Studies have found that 40-60 percent of patients treated for alcohol or other drug dependence return to active use within a year following treatment" (p. 1). Periods of "problematic use" and associated functional impairment often occur for many years after the initial diagnosis of dependence. "The conceptualization of substance dependence as a chronic disease is supported by comparison of its diagnostic criteria, etiologic factors (genetic and environmental), pathophysiology, and response to treatment with other chronic mental illnesses (e.g., type II diabetes mellitus, asthma, and hypertension)" (p. 2). The authors note lifelong treatment is an expectation for other chronic diseases such as asthma and diabetes, however substance abuse treatment has centered on acute episodes of care, which are "time limited and carry an unrealistic expectation of enduring outcomes" (p. 2). Periodic relapses are

associated with substance abuse. "Alcohol and drug abuse remain a global health problem despite the efforts in legislative control, prevention, treatment and rehabilitation intervention strategies" (Rassool, 2007, p. 61). Stigma associated with substance abuse, is considered a major barrier to persons with substance abuse addictions seeking psychological treatment and psychiatric recovery.

Definitions and Types of Stigma

"Stigma" is an "ancient Greek word, referring to a tattoo, mainly used to mark unruly slaves and criminals often on the forehead" (Lloyd, 2013, p.85). Lloyd notes the word was increasingly used to denote branding with a hot iron and even in ancient times was used metaphorically to describe permanent disgrace. Livingston, et al. (2011) describe health-related stigma as a socio-cultural process in which social groups are devalued, rejected and excluded on the basis of a socially discredited health condition. Self-stigma is a subjective process "characterized by negative feelings about self, maladaptive behavior, identity transformation or stereotype endorsement" (p. 39). Social stigma describes the "phenomenon of large social groups endorsing stereotypes about and acting against a stigmatized group" (p. 39). Institutions that restrict the rights and opportunities for members of stigmatized groups are examples of structural stigma. Stigma is identified as a significant barrier for assessing health care and substance use treatment services (2011). Health care professionals may hold negative beliefs concerning persons with substance use disorders, including beliefs that they overuse system resources, are not vested in their own health, abuse the system through drug-seeking and diversion, and fail to adhere to recommended care (2011).

PICO Statement

The acronym PICO stands for: P=the specified patient or patient population, I=the issue or intervention being investigated, C=the comparison being made and O=the outcome that may be the result. The PICO statement for this capstone project is as follows:

P= Registered Nurse students and Registered Nurses (RN) in an RN residency program.

I= Education program for RN students and RN's in the residency program before attending the educational program on stigma and substance abuse.

C =RN students and RN's in the residency program before and after attending the educational program on stigma and substance abuse

O= Reduce the amount of stigma in this group of health care providers by providing an educational program on stigma and substance abuse disorders.

Problem Statement. Do Registered Nurse students and Registered Nurses in an RN residency program have less stigmatized viewpoints towards persons with substance abuse addictions after receiving an educational program on stigma and substance abuse addictions, in comparison to before receiving the educational program on stigma and substance abuse addictions?

Literature Review

Theoretical Foundation

Hildegarde Peplau's theoretic concepts are the foundation for this capstone project. Boyd (2008) writes Hildegarde Peplau's theoretic perspectives continue to be an important basis for the practice of psychiatric nursing, with the focus of the nurse-patient relationships. Peplau described the phases of therapeutic relationship in her Interpersonal Relations Theory (Peplau, 1952). Peplau also emphasized the importance of empathic linkage or "the ability to feel in oneself the feelings experienced by another person or people" (Boyd, p. 69). Merritt and Pocter (2010) discuss Peplau's concepts of the therapeutic relationship as the central platform in mental health nursing practice. Peplau's theoretic approach to the patient's view of the therapeutic relationship is expressed in three "figural themes" as "relate to me, know me as a person and get to the solution" (Merritt & Proctor, p. 159). Jones, Fitzpatrick, and Drake (2012) discuss the absence of interpersonal curricula in programs of nursing and note that there has been a recent trend among health care organizations to offer educational modules to staff regarding relationship based care. The authors ask how it is that health care is in the situation where nurses are not comfortable dealing with interpersonal issues and aspects of relationship based care. "Now more than ever, we need the structure of an interpersonal paradigm, such as that proposed by Peplau, to guide curricula of professional nursing practice" (Jones, et al. p. 168). Negative attitudes towards persons with substance use disorders (SUD) affects everything from making an initial diagnosis of an SUD to the patients' likelihood of recovery (Meltzer et al., 2014). Mutual help groups may help alleviate stigma towards persons with substance abuse disorders.

Mutual Help Groups and Stigma

Lash, Curran, Timko, Mckay, and Burden (2011) discuss a substantial gap between practice and research in substance use disorder (SUD) continuing care. "The need to close the gap between research and clinical practice for the treatment of SUD's is great; particularly in the area of continuing care" (p.239). According to the authors Mutual Help Group continuing care (MHG's) or self-help support groups are one of the most widely available continuing care options and are usually integrated into professional treatment services (p.241). The authors noted MHG attendance following initial treatment was associated with positive substance use outcomes and improved treatment outcomes were linked to attendance over longer periods of time, group involvement, and participation in both outpatient mental health treatment, and attendance at MHG (p. 241). Lloyd (2013) notes support groups and action groups can also help persons with SUD escape stigmatization at an individual level. Members can become representatives or speakers, providing a "living model of fully-normal achievement, being heroes of adjustment", proving an individual of this type can be a good person (Lloyd, 2013).

This capstone project included the systematic literature review conducted across five databases, which utilized search terms of addiction, substance abuse, self-help, mutual help, stigma and the combination of search terms (see table 1). Forty articles were selected to be included in the literature review (Appendix A). The articles selected were pertinent to this study because they provided background studies relating to the process of addiction and related to the process of self-help groups which are believed to reduce stigmatizing effects of addiction. Stigma is considered a primary barrier to treatment for persons with substance abuse disorders.

Database	Search Terms	Results	Combined	Results
			Search Terms	
CINAHI	Addiction	5,344	Addiction and	47
			self help	
			Addiction and	86
			Stigma	
Academic Search	Mutual help	4,771	Substance abuse	391
Premier			and mutual help	
			Addiction and	
			Stigma	513
psycARTICLES	12-step	130	Addiction and	20
			12-step	
			Addiction and	5
			Stigma	
psysINFO	Substance abuse	75, 437	Substance abuse	811
			and12-step	
			Addiction and	474
			Stigma	
Medline	Self-help	29, 998	Self-help and	712
	_		substance abuse	
			Addiction and	141

Stigma			
		S - 8	

Stigma Attached to Mental Illness

Pescosolido et al. (2010) addressed the stigma attached to mental illness as a "primary barrier" to treatment and recovery (p. 1321). The authors note stigma could be reduced if people became convinced that mental illnesses were "real" brain disorders and not "volitional behaviors" for which they could be blamed and punished (p.1321). For persons with mental health disorders this stigma produces discrimination in employment, housing, medical care, and social relationships. "On a societal level, stigma has been implicated in low service use, inadequate funding for mental health research and treatment (i.e. institutional stigma) and the "courtesy" stigma attached to families, providers, and mental health treatment systems and research" (p. 1322). The authors write public attitudes influence the way individuals in the community respond to the onset of mental health problems, the way clinicians respond to patients who come for treatment, and how public policy is crafted. "Public attitudes matter. They fuel the myth that mental illness is lifelong, hopeless, and deserving of revulsion" (p. 1324). Persons with addiction may also be criminalized.

Walker (2012) writes that addiction is the one disease you are criminalized for having and unlike patients with diabetes and other medical conditions who have prominent celebrity spokespeople, "people with active SUD's (substance abuse disorders) are as unseen as ever" (p. 3). Walker also discusses the criminalization of addiction as the one reason addicts stay in the shadows. "If you are not in recovery you can be prosecuted, you can lose your job, and you can lose your children" (p. 4). Mutual help groups are a continuing care intervention aimed at prevention of substance abuse relapses. According to Chism (2010), "Clinical prevention is defined as health promotion and risk reduction/illness prevention for individuals and families, and population health is defined as including all community, environmental, cultural, and socioeconomic aspects of health" (p.19). Self-help groups or mutual-help groups are continuing care interventions for persons with substance abuse addictions.

Dadich (2010) discussed the role of self-help support groups for persons with alcoholism and drug addiction. Participants benefited with improved outcomes on substance use, enhanced sense of well-being, improved self-understanding, and greater hope. Self-help groups also played an important role in expanding friendship networks and strengthening support systems. Participants had a sense of belonging and connectedness and realized stronger connections to professional services. Kelly, Magill, and Stout (2009) conducted a systematic review of the research on mechanisms of behavior change in Alcoholics Anonymous (AA), concluding that social group dynamics in AA meetings, broader fellowship and expression of support was healing to members. Webb, Falko, Sniehotta, and Michie (2010) reviewed theories of behavior change regarding interventions for addictive behaviors. These authors discussed addiction as having two conditions; the strength of its reinforcement (reward seeking or withdrawal avoidance) and secondly, the failure to regulate, preventing the achievement of the person's or society's goals. (These behaviors are maladaptive). Vederhus, Timko, Kristensen, and Clausen (2011) investigated the relationship between patient perceptions of 12-step fellowships and the intent to participate. Findings indicated the majority of patients could potentially be motivated to attend with relatively simple strategies. Persons with substance abuse disorders have a unique stigma, apart from other mental health diseases.

Stigma in Substance Abuse Addictions

Janulis, Ferrari, and Fowler (2013) studied mechanisms of stigma toward individuals diagnosed with substance disorders. Substance disorders have a unique stigma and substance

disorders are viewed as a combination of crime and disease. The authors write negative consequences of substance abuse stigma include "disempowering addicted individuals" and limiting access to medical services (p. 1065). Other consequences include increasing the cost for addicted individuals to engage in optimally healthy behaviors. Substance abusers are less likely to utilize mental and physical health services and health service providers often hold stigmatizing and degrading attitudes toward dependent individuals. Palomar's (2012) study examined perceived rejection and secrecy in relation to illicit drug use and associated stigma that often includes stigma-related rejection from friends and family. Drug users who are convicted of drug-related offences may also experience structural stigma and may be denied employment, housing, and or school loans. Palomar writes drug users may seek to keep the drug use a secret and limit social interactions with non-users. Some users dissociate from friends and form new social circles consisting of other drug users where they will not be ridiculed. "Stigma also leads individuals to keep drug use a secret from health- care providers, and serves as a common barrier to drug treatment" (p. 573). Stigma is also a barrier in research studies because subjects often deny recent use. Low self-esteem, hopelessness and loss of confidence are associated with the secrecy of drug use. Lloyd (2013) reviewed research studies on the stigmatization of problem drug users, showing highly stigmatizing views among the general public, employers, health professionals, pharmacy staff, police officers, and problem drug users themselves. Reflection techniques and educational programs may enhance understanding of the experiences of persons with substance use disorders and thereby decrease stigma related to these disorders.

Prejudice, Stereotypes, and Discrimination

Earnshaw, Smith, and Copenhaver (2013) discuss stereotypes endorsed by health care providers and researchers as critical barriers to undertaking research involving persons with drug addictions. Earnshaw et al., note the beliefs are that persons with addictions are non-compliant, are focused on getting high at the expense of using safe injection equipment, do not have strong communities, are out of control, and are unwilling to change their risk behaviors. Beliefs about drug users being "violent, having weak characters, being unhygienic, having contagious diseases, and being dangerous" were identified as the most strongly endorsed stereotypes among hospital nurses (p. 111). Discrimination may range from the subtle, for example gossip, to extremes of job loss and social ostracism. The authors note persons with addiction may experience discrimination from multiple sources including colleagues and family members. Stigma from family members may lessen their social support and stigma from work colleagues is associated with heightened stress and decreased well-being (2013). Researchers have evaluated interventions aimed at reducing stigma related to substance use disorders.

Rationale for Research Project

Livingston, Milne, Fang, and Amari (2011) conducted a systemic review of existing research that evaluated interventions designed to reduce stigma related to substance use disorders. Thirteen studies were included: of these three studies targeted the general public or social stigma, and seven of the 13 studies included medical students and other professional groups or structural stigma. Nine of the interventions used educational interventions and or direct contact with persons with substance abuse. The authors concluded contact based training and educational programs targeting medical students and professionals (police or counselors) are effective. Cadiz, Truxillo, and O'Neill (2012) noted a lack of education about substance use disorders contributes to the stigmatization of the disease and conducted a training program for nurse supervisors monitoring nurses in early recovery. This study concluded that training reduces stigma towards persons with substance use disorders, thus creating a more supportive environment for nurses in recovery. Brener, Hippel, Kippax, and Preacher (2010) addressed physician and nurse negative attitudes towards injecting drug users, including perceptions that drug use is under the control of the individual, thus the individual was blamed for their drug use and any related illnesses. "In such cases, less pity, less concern, and less helping behavior towards members of the stigmatized population is elicited" (p. 1008). Health care professionals also reported worries regarding threats to personal safety, theft, and verbal abuse.

Stigma, social distance and discrimination are major obstacles to persons with addictions in obtaining mental health services. "Stigma shapes the way that individuals who are not drug users feel toward, think about, and treat people with a known or assumed history of drug addiction" (Earnshaw, Smith & Copenhaver, 2013, p. 111). Walker (2012) writes that "until addiction is elevated from the misconceptions and the mental images of the person who is an alcoholic and dying in the gutter, or dying in the crack house or heroin shooting gallery, there will always be shame" (p. 2). Training for health care professionals and public awareness will hopefully increase public awareness on addiction and decrease stigma attached to persons with addictions. Stigmatizing attitudes of health care professionals towards persons with substance use disorders may negatively affect health care delivery.

Consequences of Stigma

Van Boekel, Brouwers, van Weeghel and Garretsen (2013) conducted a systematic review of studies relating to stigma among health professionals towards persons with substance use disorders and the consequences for healthcare delivery. Stigmatizing attitudes lead to poor communication between professional and patient, diminished therapeutic alliance and "diagnostic overshadowing" or the misattribution of physical illness symptoms to substance use problems (2013). Nurses tended to make shorter visits, visit more often in pairs and have a more task-oriented approach. "The provided care was suboptimal and had a more avoidant approach, which may result in diminished personal engagement, and empathy in the health care delivery" (p. 33). Monks, Topping and Newell's (2012) grounded theory study on how Registered Nurses in England cared for patients with complications of drug use revealed "lack of knowledge to care", and distrust and detachment" in the category of "dissonant care" (p. 935). Van Boekel et al. concluded negative attitudes of health care professionals caring for persons with substance use disorders may negatively affect health care delivery and result in treatment avoidance or interruption during relapse (2013). Negative attitudes of health professionals diminished patients' feelings of empowerment and subsequent treatment outcomes. Lack of education and training was cited as factors that may reduce negative attitudes of health care professionals.

Education and Training on Stigma

Monks, Topping and Newell (2012) concluded that better education and training coupled with role support about drug use may facilitate competent care for patients with substance use disorders. Nurses in this study reported distrust and detachment in interactions with patients who used illicit drugs, resulting in minimal interactions with the patients. The consequence of this mutual distrust resulted in an escalation of negative behaviors, ending in verbal or physical abuse (2012). "On other occasions it resulted in enforced or self-discharge of patients prior to resolution of their medical problems" (p. 942). The nurses cited lack of understanding of drug use as contributing to their reluctance to discuss issues relating to drug use while undertaking initial assessments. The authors concluded that the combination of lack of educational preparation and negative attitudes appeared to act as a barrier to effective care giving. Monks et al. (2012) recommendations include education and training for nurses to understand problem use

and addiction, manage withdrawals and related behavior, and initiate appropriate support for patients who use illicit drugs.

Recommendations for Future Study and Substance Abuse Addictions Advocacy

Recommendations for future studies include evaluations of substance use disorder interventions focused on persons with substance use disorders (self-sigma), the general public (social stigma), and medical students and other professional groups (structural stigma) (Livingston, Milne, Fang, & Amari, 2011). Recommendations also include research studies concerning the effectiveness of interventions aimed at first semester nursing students versus Registered Nurse Graduates. Effectiveness of mutual support groups in reducing self-stigma and stigma by family and friends of substance use disorder persons is another recommendation for future study. Does community support groups reduce social stigma by the general public in local communities? Walker (2012) writes public service announcements (PSA's) help encourage advocacy for other chronic diseases but PSA's for substance abuse are rarely seen. Walker also notes most other mental health advocacy groups receive funding from pharmaceutical organizations, unlike substance abuse addiction groups. Walker notes that stigma gets in the way of persons in recovery who return to the community and "blend right in" because the person is not in a situation to want to advocate for treatment because in most cases, the boss does not know he/she is in recovery. Unlike persons with other chronic diseases who have celebrity spokespeople and can talk to their employers about medical conditions, persons with active substance abuse addictions are "as unseen as ever" (p. 1).

Implications for Practice of Stigma

Meltzer et al. (2013) discuss the implications of negative labeling or negative attitudes towards patients with substance use disorders (SUD's) and the negative impact on patients with substance use disorders. "Poor attitude, or even low professional satisfaction in caring for individuals with SUD's affects everything from making an initial diagnosis of a SUD to a patient's likelihood of recovery" (p. 357). The authors note evidence suggests stigma impedes patients recovery by negatively affecting self-esteem, self-efficacy and longitudinal disease management. Cadiz, Truxillo, and O'Neill (2012) concluded that the lack of education about substance use disorders contributes to the stigmatization of the disease and that substance abuse stigma may cause impaired nurses not to seek help or treatment, thus impacting performance and risking patient safety. Bartlett, et al. (2013) write that negative attitudes of health care providers have a negative impact on the care patients with SUD's receive including reluctance to remain in the hospital for the required treatment period. These patients' self-esteem and treatment outcomes are negatively affected if patients do not receive medications that could help them through the withdrawal process.

Project Plan and Evaluation

Market/Risk Analysis and SWOT Analysis

Table 2 below depicts the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats (SWOT) analysis for this capstone project concerning stigma and health care professionals. Strengths of this project included the enthusiasm of the hospital nursing administration and Registered Nurse (RN) educator. Minimal budget costs were involved and this project was based on educational interventions to reduce stigma towards persons with addictions. Weaknesses of this projects were the limited time to complete the project and scheduling issues pertaining to scheduling the presentations for students. Hospital administration asked to utilize this completed project in application for Magnet approval. The RN nurse educator at the hospital has also asked to have the presentation of the PowerPoint presented to other cohort's in the RN nurse residency

program.

Table 2 SWOT Analysis

Strengths
Hospital based, Community College, and Regis University based
project
Enthusiasm of Hospital nursing administration and RN Nurse
Educator.
Minimal budget costs involved.
Evidenced- based project

Weaknesses	Strategies to overcome weaknesses
Limited time to complete project	Submit to Regis IRB and obtain approval.
Scheduling Issues with the hospital and	Spend extra time explaining purpose of project
community college.	to the hospital staff and community college
	staff.

Opportunities
The hospital has asked to utilize project for Magnet application.
Publication in Scholarly Nursing Journal.
Replication of project as evidence based project.
PowerPoint presentation scheduled for future RN residency students.

Threats	Strategies to overcome threats
Lack of approval by Regis IRB	Submit changes to Regis IRB with approval of
	Capstone Chair

Stakeholders and Project Team

Stakeholders included the faculty capstone chair and Regis faculty, the regional medical

center staff, community college students and faculty, the Regis DNP student mentor and the

Regis student. The project team includes the Regis DNP student mentor, Regis faculty mentors and capstone chair, community college students, nurses participating in the RN nurse residency program and the Regis DNP student.

Vision and Mission

The vision of the this Capstone Project is to empower persons with substance abuse to be less stigmatized, thus facilitate them to remain sober and free from substance abuse and facilitate a successful reentry into the community. This vision is to increase understanding of the stigma attached to substance abuse addictions thus enabling health care professionals to better support patients with this diagnosis. The mission of this capstone project is to present an educational program on substance abuse addictions and stigma for health care professionals, thus increasing awareness and understanding among health care professionals. This capstone project had both short term and long term objectives.

Project Objectives

Short term objectives are to increase knowledge, understanding, and awareness of substance abuse addictions, thus decreasing stigmatizing views of the health care professionals receiving the educational presentation. Long term objectives include deceased and or elimination of substance abuse or use and decreased readmission rates and relapse rates, facilitated by increased utilization of health care services by persons with substance abuse addictions. Patients who are less stigmatized may have long term benefits including achievement of members' long term goals (obtaining and sustaining employment), and development of recovery support networks. Formation of therapeutic relationships, development of effective social skills, and benefits from helping others contribute to members' enhanced self-esteem and integration into the community. The logic model is the evaluation plan utilized for this capstone project.

Evaluation Plan

Logic Model-Description and Rationale

Zaccagnini and White (2011) suggest: "Thinking about a program in logic model terms prompts the clarity and specificity required for success, often demanded by funders and your community" (p.480). The authors note logic models all have similar components of inputs, outputs, and outcomes. In the logic model "inputs" are "resources required to implement and evaluate the project" and "outputs" are the "immediate results of the project". "Outcomes" may be listed at three levels: short-term, long-term, and impact. Zaccagnini and White note that long term outcomes reflect a change in behavior in contrast to impact outcomes that apply to results of a change in the population affected by the project. Impact goals of this project include reduced rates of relapse in this population of substance abuse users and increased quality of life and a successful transition and integration of this population back into our community. (See appendix B Logic Model). Other impact goals are community education and acceptance of this population of substance abuse disorders. The statistical program SPSS is utilized as the statistical analysis software package for this project.

Methodology and Measurement

Description of population and methodology. The sample size for this project included 11 Registered Nurses (RN's) in the RN Nurse Residency Program at Regional Medical Center and 34 RN students attending a local community college for a total of 45 participants. Data was collected between November 14, 2013 and January 09, 2014 at two separate training events. Participants completed pre-tests just before training and post-tests just after training (appendix C). The PowerPoint on Substance Abuse and Stigma was presented and time for discussion and questions followed the PowerPoint presentation. The training was conducted by the author who was also responsible for distributing and collecting the surveys, which were kept confidential. This educational PowerPoint included information on the process of substance use addiction, definitions of stigma, types of stigma (public, self, and courtesy), and social and economic consequences of stigma and attitudes of health care professionals towards persons with substance abuse addictions. Participants were instructed not to add any names or other identification information to the surveys. The pre-test-post-test methodology focused on assessing changes in knowledge and attitudinal outcomes relating to learning and training transfer. Substance abuse stigma was assessed on the basis of the 10 item pre-test and post-test, and participants responded to these items using a 5-point Likert scale. Stigma was measured at both time one and time two (pretests and posttests). A random number was assigned to the pretests and posttests to link the pretests and posttests together. Protection of the participants' data included storage of the tests in locked cabinets and the data was compiled and analyzed on a password protected computer.

T-test. The data analysis plan includes the *t* test for dependent groups, sometimes called the paired *t* test or a correlated group's *t* test (Polit, 2010). The commands for the dependent groups' test are under the *Analyze* menu and are: *Compare Means, Paired Samples T Test* (p.120). The independent variable is pre- exposure and post- exposure to the educational program on substance abuse addictions and stigma. The dependent variables are responses to the pre-test questions and responses to the post-test questions. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) statistical software package was used for analysis of data. The sample size is actually the entire population of Registered Nurse Students and population of RN's in the Nurse Residency Program, in attendance at the educational program who have completed the pre-tests and post-tests. The null hypothesis was that the means of the two groups were not significantly different.

The alternative hypothesis was that the means of the two groups were significantly different. Data was entered directly into the SPSS.

Threats to Validity and Reliability. Table 3 depicts potential threats to validity and reliability. The researcher is an employee at the hospital and a former employee at the community college and participants in this study may be familiar with the researcher. The small population of participants may not represent each group. The nursing student participants were all first semester nursing students in one cohort attending the local community college.

Threats to Reliability	Threats to Reliability	Threats to Validity	Threats to Validity
(Internal)	(External)	(Internal)	(External)
Researcher's	Position of researcher	Small population	Is it possible to
interpretations and	as employee at hospital	and selection of	replicate selection
selection of data may	site and former	population may not	procedures?
influence results.	employee at	represent each	
	community college	group.	
	may influence results.		

Table 3 Threats to Validity and Reliability

The principal investigator (DNP) student had extensive experience as a psychiatric Registered Nurse and has completed the CITI Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI) (Appendix D). Approval for this capstone project was obtained from Regis University (Appendix E) as an exempt study, the local regional medical center (Appendix F) and the local community college (Appendix G). **Timeframe.** This capstone project involved a time frame of approximately three years from conception of the project, literature review, and project completion as depicted in table 4 below. The PICO statement was developed and finalized during the first year of the Doctorate of Nursing Program and the project model plan developed during last semester utilizing the Logic Model. The extensive literature review was conducted during 2013 and additional journal articles added during 2014. The final project was completed during 2014. Table 4 below depicts the Doctor of Nursing Practice Courses completed that directly impacted the planning and implementation of this project.

Problem	Goals,	Theoretical	Work Planning	Implementation
Recognition	Objectives and	Underpinnings	and Planning	and Giving
and Needs	Mission		for Evaluation	Meaning to the
Assessment	Statement			Data. Utilizing
				and Reporting
				Results
Clinical	Theoretical	Theoretical	Strategic	DNP Capstone
Experiences	Application for	Application and	Planning	Projects A, B, C
during	the DNP.	Clinical		and D.
Application to	Clinical	Research		
Practice A.	Research &			
	Application to			
	Practice B			

Table 4	Time	Frame
---------	------	-------

Year one	Years one and	Years one and	Year two and	Years one, two
	two	two	three	and three

Budget and Resources. Table 5 below lists budget requirements and resources for this capstone project. Resources provided by both the community college and the local regional hospital included computer utilization, paper products for copying, copy ink supplies and classrooms for the PowerPoint presentations. Each of these facilities also graciously provided access to RN students and RN's in the nurse residency program. Table 5 below also shows estimated costs to replicate this project.

Table 5 Budget and Resources

Resources	Estimated Costs to Replicate
Computer uses, paper, ink	Estimated \$500 total.
Clinical Nurse Educator Mentor	\$50 Hour for 150 Hours (7,500 \$ total).
DNP Student Hours (160 hours at RN	4,800\$
estimated wage of \$30 hour)	
Classrooms for Educational Presentations	No actual costs (Estimated \$500.00).

Project Findings and Results

Data of the answers given by participants in this study was analyzed in the Statistical Package of the Social Sciences (SPSS) software and data tables generated. The dependent group's *t* test was chosen to analyze answers chosen by participants before and after attending the educational program and power point. Appendix H is the SPSS computer printout for the dependent group's *t* test showing the 10 paired questions pre-test and post-test (appendix C). At the < 0 .05 level for a 2-tailed test only pairs seven, eight, and nine were statistically significant. STIGMA

Ouestion seven was concerning if most employers would hire someone who has been treated for substance abuse addiction if he/she was qualified for this position Sig (.005). Question 8 asked about being willing to have someone as a close friend who has been treated for substance abuse Sig. (.003). Ouestion 9 stated persons with substance abuse are generally not responsible citizens Sig. (.041). Appendix I shows the paired samples correlations SPSS printout. Frequency statistics were calculated (see Tables 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11). Tables 12-13 below depict frequency statistics from question 1 stating that persons with substance abuse disorders abuse the medical health system and tables 14 and 15 concerning if persons with substance abuse disorders have personal control over his/her addiction behaviors. Tables 16-17 show frequency statistics on the question about if substance abusers are weak-willed and lack self- control. Differences in pretest and posttest answers to these and the other remaining questions were not statistically significant, showing statistically significant changes in attitudes did not occur as a result of the one hour educational presentation. It is likely that attitudes towards persons with addictions are not easily modified, especially with simply a one hour educational presentation. Another aspect is that participants were not experienced psychiatric nurses, with exposure to persons with substance abuse addictions. Therefore reflection techniques and or lived experiences of health care professionals interacting with persons with addictions were not reflected in the results of this limited study.

Table 6 Pre Test Employers hiring persons with addictions. Question 7

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	4	8.9	8.9	8.9
	Disagree	20	44.4	44.4	53.3
	Neutral	11	24.4	24.4	77.8
	Agree	10	22.2	22.2	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 7 Post Test Employers hiring persons with addictions Question 7

_					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	4	8.9	8.9	8.9
	Disagree	28	62.2	62.2	71.1
	Neutral	8	17.8	17.8	88.9
	Agree	5	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 8 Pre Test Close friend of person with addictions Question 8

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Disagree	3	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Neutral	6	13.3	13.3	20.0
	Agree	24	53.3	53.3	73.3
	Strongly Agree	12	26.7	26.7	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 9 Post- Test Close friend of person with addiction Question 8

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Disagree	4	8.9	8.9	8.9

Neutral	10	22.2	22.2	31.1
Agree	27	60.0	60.0	91.1
Strongly Agree	4	8.9	8.9	100.0
Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 10 Pre- Test Generally not responsible citizens Question 9

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	6	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Disagree	21	46.7	46.7	60.0
	Neutral	10	22.2	22.2	82.2
	Agree	8	17.8	17.8	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 11 Post- Test Generally not responsible citizens Question 9

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	1	2.2	2.2	2.2
	Disagree	19	42.2	42.2	44.4
	Neutral	16	35.6	35.6	80.0
	Agree	9	20.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Limitations

The limitations of this study include the sample size (n=45) and the fact this is a mixed sample of both nursing students attending the local community college and Registered Nurses (RN's) who are already on staff at the local regional medical center participating in the yearlong RN Residency Program. These two groups were not analyzed separately but were analyzed as one group. The presentations were presented on two different dates in two different locations for the two groups. Other limitations were the inexperience of the Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) student, who conducted this study.

Instrument Validity and Reliability

The pre-test/post-test does not have established validity and reliability as an instrument evaluating stigmatizing attitudes. This pretest/posttest was an original survey of ten questions, based on the researchers' literature review of studies utilizing measurement of stigma instruments. Questions were adapted and based on aspects of stigma gleaned from the literature. These questions were reviewed with experts in the facility for agreement regarding validity.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
		Trequeriey			
Valid	Strongly Disagree	1	2.2	2.2	2.2
	Disagree	12	26.7	26.7	28.9
	Neutral	11	24.4	24.4	53.3
	Agree	14	31.1	31.1	84.4
	Strongly Agree	7	15.6	15.6	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 12 Pre-Test Abuse the Medical Health System

Table 13 Post- Test Abuse the Medical Health System

		_			Cumulative
	-	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	3	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Disagree	12	26.7	26.7	33.3
	Neutral	13	28.9	28.9	62.2
	Agree	13	28.9	28.9	91.1
	Strongly Agree	4	8.9	8.9	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

	Table 14 Pre Test Personal Control of Addiction Benaviors					
					Cumulative	
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent	
Valid	Strongly Disagree	6	13.3	13.3	13.3	
	Disagree	19	42.2	42.2	55.6	
	Neutral	11	24.4	24.4	80.0	
	Agree	7	15.6	15.6	95.6	
	Strongly Agree	2	4.4	4.4	100.0	
	Total	45	100.0	100.0		

Table 14 Pre Test Personal Control of Addiction Behaviors

Table 15 Post -Test Personal Control of Addiction Behaviors

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	2	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Disagree	22	48.9	48.9	53.3
	Neutral	17	37.8	37.8	91.1
	Agree	4	8.9	8.9	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 16 Pre- Test Weak-willed and lack self-control

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	3	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Disagree	20	44.4	44.4	51.1
	Neutral	14	31.1	31.1	82.2
	Agree	7	15.6	15.6	97.8
	Strongly Agree	1	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 17 Post Test Weak- willed and lack self-control

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	2	4.4	4.4	4.4

Disagree	22	48.9	48.9	53.3
Neutral	14	31.1	31.1	84.4
Agree	5	11.1	11.1	95.6
Strongly Agree	2	4.4	4.4	100.0
Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 18 Pre- Test Blame and personal responsibility

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	1	2.2	2.2	2.2
	Disagree	13	28.9	28.9	31.1
	Neutral	10	22.2	22.2	53.3
	Agree	16	35.6	35.6	88.9
	Strongly Agree	5	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Table 19 Post- Test Blame and personal responsibility

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	2	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Disagree	11	24.4	24.4	28.9
	Neutral	18	40.0	40.0	68.9
	Agree	12	26.7	26.7	95.6
	Strongly Agree	2	4.4	4.4	100.0
	Total	45	100.0	100.0	

Implications for Practice and Conclusions

This capstone study emphasized the need for additional research studies concerning attitudes of health care professionals towards persons with substance use disorders. Educational facilities and nursing programs may need to incorporate additional training into the current curriculum to ensure future health care professionals meet unmet needs of persons with substance abuse disorders. Persons with substance use disorders need non-judgmental care from health care professionals in order to help them have the best chances for ultimate successful rehabilitation and avoidance of relapse. This study revealed that practicing RN's and RN students did benefit somewhat from a limited one hour educational program on stigma towards persons with substance abuse disorders. Additional and more extensive research should be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions in reducing stigma of health care professionals towards persons with substance abuse disorders.

The involvement of service users (people who use illicit drugs) in any educational initiatives may help to expose nurses, to see the person behind the drugs and ultimately enable RN's to manage and deliver more competent and empathic care to this patient group (Monks, Topping & Newell, 2012, p. 945).

References

- Bartlett, R., Brown, L., Shattell, M., Wright, T., & Lewallen, L. (2013). Harm reduction:
 Compassionate care of persons with addictions. *Medical Surgical Nursing*, 22(6), 349-358.
- Boyd, A.B. (2008). *Psychiatric nursing. Contemporary practice*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippinott Williams & Wilkins.
- Brener, L., Von Hippel, W., Kippax, S., & Preacher, K.J. (2010). Substance <u>u</u>Use and, mMisuse, 45, 1007-1018. doi: 10.3109/10826081003659543
- Dadich, A. (2010). Expanding our understanding of self-help support groups for substance use issues. *Journal of Drug Education*, 40(2), 189-202.
- Cadiz, D., Truxillo, D., & O'Neill, C. (2012). Evaluation of a training program for nurse supervisors who monitor nurses in an alternative to discipline program. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 35, (2)135-144. doi: 101097/ANS.0b013e31824fe6e0
- Chism, L.A. (2010). *The doctor of nursing practice. A guidebook for role development and professional issues.* Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett, publishers.
- Earnshaw, V., Smith, L., & Copenhaver, M. (2013). Drug addiction stigma in the context of methadone maintenance therapy: An investigation into understudied sources of stigma.
 International Journal of Mental Health Addiction, 11, 110-122. doi: 10.1007/s11469-012-9402-5
- Hartwell, K., Brady, K., Oslin, D., & Hermann, R. (2012). Clinical management of substance dependence across the continuum of care. *Wolters Kluwer Health*. Retrieved from <u>www.uptodate.com</u>

- Janulis, P., Ferrari, J.R., & Fowler, P. (2013). Understanding public stigma toward substance dependence. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 43, 1065-1072. doi: 10.1111/jasp.12070
- Jones, J., Fitzpatrick, J.J., & Drake, V. (2012). Has anyone seen my old friend Peplau? The absence of interpersonal curricula in programs of nursing. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*, 26(3), 167-168. doi:10.1016/j.apnu.2012.02.003

Kelly, J.F., Magill, M., & Stout, R.L. (2009). How do people recover from alcohol dependence?
A systematic review of the research on mechanism of behavior change in Alcoholics
Anonymous. *Addiction Research and Theory*, *17*(3), 236-259. doi:
10.1080/16066350902770458

- Lash, S.J., Curran, G.M., Timko, C., Mckay, J.R., & Burden, J.L. (2011). Implementation of evidence-based substance use disorder continuing care interventions. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 25(2), 238-251. doi: 10.1037/a0022608
- Livingston, J.D., Milne, T., Fang, M.L., & Amari, E. (2011). The effectiveness of interventions for reducing stigma related to substance use disorders: <u>Aa</u> systematic review. *Addiction*, 107, 39-50. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03601.x
- Lloyd, C. (2013). The stigmatization of problem drug users: A narrative literature review. *Drugs: education, prevention and policy, 20*(2), 85-95. doi: 10.3109/09687637.2012.743506
- McKay, J.R., & Hiller-Strurmhofel (2011). Treating alcoholism as a chronic disease. *Alcohol Research*, *33*(4). 356-365.
- Meltzer, E.C., Suppes, A., Burns, S., Shuman, A., Orfanos, A., Sturiano, C.V., Charney, P., & Fins, J.J. (2013). Stigmatization of substance use disorders among internal medicine

residents. Substance Abuse, 34(4), 356-362. doi:10.1080/08897077.2013.815143

- Merritt, M.K., & Procter, N. (2010). Conceptualizing the functional role of mental health consultation –Liaison nurse in multi-morbidity using Peplau's nursing theory. *Contemporary Nurse*, 34(2), 158-166.
- Monks, R., Topping, A., & Newell, R. (2012). The dissonant care management of illicit drug users in medical wards, the views of nurses and patients: a grounded theory study.
 Journal of Advanced Nursing, 69, (4), 935-946. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2012.06088.x
- Palamar, J.J. (2012). A pilot study examining perceived rejection and secrecy in relation to illicit drug use and associated stigma. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *31*, 573-579. doi: 10.1111/j.1465-3362.2011.00406.x
- Peplau, H.E. (1952). Interpersonal relations in nursing: a conceptual frame of reference for psychodynamic nursing. New York: Putman.
- Polit, D. F. (2010). *Statistics and data analysis for nursing research* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Educational Inc.
- Prescosolido, B.A., Martin, J.K., Long, J.S., Medina, T.R., Phelan, J.C., & Link, B.G. (2010). "A disease like any other"? A decade of change in public reactions to schizophrenia, depression and alcohol dependence. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167(11). 1321-1330.
- Rassool, G.H. (2007). Some considerations on attitudes to addictions: Waiting for the tide to change. *Journal of Addictions Nursing, 18,* 61-63.
- Van Boekel, L.C., Brouwers, E.P.M., Weeghel, J.V., & Garretsen, H.F.L. (2013). Stigma among health professionals towards patients with substance use disorders and its consequences for healthcare delivery: Systematic review. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 131, 23-35.

- Verderhus, J., Timko, C., Kristensen, O., & Clausen, T. (2011). The courage to change: Patient perceptions of 12-step fellowships. *Health Services Research*, *11*, 1-8.
- Walker, I. (2012). Why the patient advocacy movement is muted for addiction. *Alcoholism & Drug Weekly*, *6*, 3-4. doi:10.1002/adaw
- Webb, T.L., Sniehotta, F.F., & Michie, S. (2010). Using theories of behavior change to inform interventions for addictive behaviors. *Addiction*, *105*, 1879-1892.
- Zaccagnini, M.E. & White, K.W. (2011). *The doctor of nursing practice essentials. A new model for advanced practice nursing.* Sudbury: MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.

Appendices

Appendix A Systematic Review of the Literature

·						
Author/Year Database and Article Title and Keywords Journal Funding Source	Research Design and Level of Evidence	Study	Population Studied/Sample Size/Criteria/ Power	Methods/Study Appraisal/ Synthesis Methods	Primary Outcome Measures and Results	Author Conclusions/ Implications of Key Findings
#1. Ferris, M., Amato, L., & Davoli, M. (2009). Alcoholics' Anonymous and Other 12-step programmes for alcohol dependence Evaluation; Sel	ry. Internal Support: i Epidemiologia ASL ternal Source of Keywords: Program f-Help Groups; mymous; Alcoholism	Purpose is to assess the effectiveness of Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) or Twelve-Step Facilitation (TSF) in reducing alcohol intake, Achieving abstinence, improving the quality of life of affected people and reducing alcohol associated accidents and	Types of studies	Severity of addiction does not seem to be differentially influenced by the interventions from studies included in this review. No conclusive result have been	The authors note further research should be devoted to quality of life outcomes for patients and thei families. "A well designed qualitative study could identify hypotheses for further research" (p. 8). One majo limitation is that there were many interventions compared in the same study and too many hypotheses were tested at the sam time to identify factors which	r "Further large- scale studies comparing just one AA or TSI intervention with a control should be undertaken to

				Population is			1
				individuals			
				with co-			
				occurring			
				substance			
				use and			
				psychiatric			
				disorders.			
				Sample size			
				229 who met			
				criteria out			
				of 481			
				patients who			
				were			
				enrolling in	Intent to		
				the CDTP	treat		
				(psychiatric	analysis on		
				continuing	pre-DTR		
				day	and post-		
				2	1		
				treatment	DTR		
				program).	cohorts.		
				CDTP	The first		
				patients were	cohort did		
	PsycINFO			excluded	not have		
	database.			from the	DTR		
	Keywords:		Study	study if	(Double		
	Co-		purpose:	younger than	Trouble in		
	occurring		Evaluation	age 18, did	Recovery)		
	disorders,		of Double	not	available		
	mental		Trouble in	understand	while the		
	illness,		Recovery	or speak	second		This stuc
	mutual aid,		(DTR) for	English,	cohort was		reinforce
	program		assisting	appeared	exposed to		conclusio
	evaluation,		individuals	intoxicated	DTR after it	Outcome Measures:	mutual a
	self-help,		to recover	on drugs or	was	Structured interview that	groups c
	substance		from co-	alcohol,	established	obtained data on	complem
	abuse,		occurring	carried a	in the	demographics,	rather that
	treatment		substance	diagnosis of	program.	employment/support	compete
# 3 Magura, S., Rosenblum, A., Villano,	outcomes,	Ouasi-	use and	mental	Both	status, living	professio
C.L., Vogel, H.S., Fong, C., & Belzler, T.	12-step	experimental	psychiatric	retardation,	cohorts	arrangements,	mental h
(2008). Dual-Focus Mutual Aid for Co-	groups.	Outcome	disorders.	were deemed	were	psychiatric diagnoses,	and addi
Occurring Disorders: A Quasi-	Funding	Evaluation	"Controlled	actively	assessed at	medication adherence.	treatmen
Experimental Outcome Evaluation Study.	National	Study. Level	designs are	psychotic, or	program	recent substance use and	degree of
The American Journal of Drug and	Institute on	Three from the	rare in	were unable	admission	addiction history.	U
		Seven Tiered	studies of			Program retention data	participa
Alcohol Abuse, 34. 61-74. doi:	Drug			to give	at a six	6	the mutu
10.1080/00952990701764623. PsycINFO	Abuse,	Levels of	mutual aid"	informed	month	was obtained from	groups w
database.	Grant.	Evidence.	(p. 61).	consent.	follow-up.	program records.	disappoi

	I			1	1	1	
						Outcome	
						measures	
						include an	
						eight item	
						scale	
						assessing 12-	
						step	
						involvement.	
						Seven	
						questions	
						assessed	
						helping	
						during	
						treatment.	
						Substance use	
						outcome	
						measures,	
						measured at	
						the 6 month	
						follow-up	
				Community		included 1. 30	
				sample of		day	
				(n=279) of		abstinence	
				alcohol and		from both	
				or drug-		alcohol and	
				dependent		drugs and 2.	
				individuals.		Binge	
				Criteria age		drinking.	
				18 or over,		Results	
				diagnosed		(model one)	
				with alcohol		revealed a	
				and or drug		strong,	
				dependence,		positive	
				report no		association	"These null
				psychosis,		between 12-	results for 12-
				have stable	Dentisinente	step	step
				housing, have	Participants	involvement	involvement
				72 hours or	completed	preceding	contradict
	CDLAW			more of clean	study	follow up and	some research
	CINAHL			and sober	measures	probability of	showing that
	Database.			time and	during three	abstinence at	binge
	Key words:		Study Aim to	provide	interviews.	follow-up-yet	drinking
	12-step		examine	consent to	Study sites	helping	decreases
#4. Zemore,	groups,		whether	participate in	were three	during	with
S.E.,	Alcoholics		clients in	a randomized	mixed gender	treatment	involvement"
Kaskutas,	Anonymous,		treatment for	trial. Another	programs and	exerted null	(p. 1021).
L.A., &	helping,		alcohol and	requirement	one women-	effects. "Still,	"Findings
Ammon, L.N.	treatment		drug	is that	only program.	helping was	support the
(2004). In 12-	outcome.		problems	participants	Core analyses	related to	helper
step groups,	Funding by a	Longitudinal	benefit from	have had no	involved path	treatment	therapy
helping helps	grant from	Treatment	helping others	treatment	analysis using	outcomes	principle and
the helper.	the National	Outcome.	and how	beyond	weighted	indirectly, by	clarify the
Society for	Institute on	Randomized	helping	detoxification	least-squares	way of	process of 12-
the Study of	Alcohol	Trial.	relates to 12-	in the	estimation for	influencing	step
Addiction, 99,	Abuse and	Evidence	step	previous 30	categorical	12-step	affiliation" (p.
1015-1023.	Alcoholism.	Level Two.	involvement.	days.	variables.	involvement"	1015).
		1	1				· · · ·

			(p. 1021).	
			Model 2	
			showed a	
			significant	
			negative	
			association	
			between	
			helping	
			during	
			treatment and	
			probability of	
			binge	
			drinking at	
			follow-up.	

	I	I		I	I	I	
						Outcome	
						measures are	
						substance	
						abuse	
						outcomes.	
						For each of	
						the 13 weeks	
						participants	
						were scored	
						as either drug	
						and alcohol	
						free or having	
						used	
						substances.	
						Measures	
						included the	
						Mental	
						Health	
						(GHQ),	
						Quality of	
						Life (QLS),	
						Shame (ISS),	
						Social	
						Support	
						(MSPSS) and	
						Treatment	
						Use (TSR).	
						"Results	
						indicated that	
#5. Lucoma,						reductions in	
J.B., Hayes,					Method:	shame during	"Results of
S.C.,					Consecutive	active	this study
Fletcher, L.,					cohort pairs	treatment	suggest that
&		Consecutive			were assigned	predicted	acceptance
Kohlenberg,		pairs of			in a pair wise	higher levels	and
B.S. (2012).		cohorts were			random	of substance	mindfulness-
Slow and		assigned in a		Participants	fashion.	use at follow	based
steady wins		pair wise		were 133	Substance	up" (p. 51).	interventions
the race: A	PsycINFO	random		adults (61	abuse	"Meditational	may help
randomized	database. Key	fashion to		female, 72	outcomes	Analyses	people to step
clinical trial	words:	receive either		male)	were	suggested that	out of a cycle
of acceptance	Shame,	Treatment as		diagnosed	analyzed	the more	of avoidance
and	substance use	Usual (TAU)		with a	using	gradual	and shame
commitment	disorder,	or the ACT		substance use	generalized	reductions in	and move
therapy	stigma,	intervention.	This study	disorder	linear mixed	shame found	toward a path
targeting	mindfulness,	The ACT	examined a	participating	models.	in the ACT	of successful
shame in	acceptance	intervention	group-	in a 28-day	"Combined	group	recovery that
substance	and	consisted of	intervention	residential	drug or	protected	leads to more
abuse	commitment	three 2 hour	for shame	program.	alcohol	against the	stable
disorders.	therapy.	group	based on	Criteria: all	treatment	pattern seen	reductions in
Journal of	Funding	sessions	principles of	participants	utilization at	in TAU for	shame and to
Consulting	source:	scheduled	acceptance	qualified for a	follow-up	shame	more
and Clinical	National	during a	and	diagnosis of	was the main	reductions to	functional
Psychology,	Institute on	single week.	commitment	substance	focus of this	be associated	ways of
<i>Psychology</i> , 80, (1). 43-	Drug Abuse	Level Two	therapy	abuse or	analysis" (p.	with	living" (p.
53.	Grant.	evidence.	(ACT).	dependence.	48).	subsequent	51).
55.	Orant.	CVILICIICE.		acpendence.	+0 <i>j</i> .	subsequent	51).

			higher levels of substance	
			of substance	
			use". The	
			ACT	
			intervention	
			led to higher	
			levels of	
			levels of	
			outpatient	
			treatment	
			attendance	
			during	
			follow-up.	

# 6. Meade, C.S., Drabkin, A.S., Hansen, N.B., Wilson, P.A., Kochman, A., & Sikkema, K.J. (2010). Reductions in alcohol and cocaine use following a group coping intervention for HIV- positive adults with childhood sexual abuse	psycINFO database. Key Words Coping, HIV/AIDS randomized controlled trial, sexual abuse, substance abuse. This research was supported by	Research design is randomized controlled trial. Participants were assigned randomly to the experimental coping group or a time- matched comparison support group. Both interventions were delivered in a group format over 15 weekly 90- minute	Study Aim: This study tested the effects of a coping group intervention for HIV- positive adults with childhood sexual abuse histories on	Population: A diverse sample of 247 HIV-positive men and women with childhood sexual abuse. Inclusion criteria were at least 18 years of age, HIV-positive	Methods: After completing the baseline assessment, participants were assigned randomly to either the experimental coping condition (LIFT) or a time-matched comparison support condition. Longitudinal changes in substance use were examined over the 16- month period from baseline to 12 month follow-up. (Five	Primary outcomes are cocaine, marijuana, and alcohol use. The results suggest that LIFT (a theory based group coping intervention is effective in promoting sustained	"By teaching patients how to identify and implement effective coping strategies to manage stressors related to living with HIV and CSA (childhood sexual abuse) LIFT had beneficial effects on multiple outcomes, notably traumatic stress, sexual risk and substance abuse" (p. 1948). This approach could be incorporated into community- based mental health services to improve clinical
adults with	abuse. This	over 15	childhood	at least 18	to 12 month	is effective in	services to
		•			1	· ·	<u>^</u>
				-	`		
histories.	grants from	sessions.	alcohol,	serostatus and	assessment	reductions in	outcomes and
Addiction,	the National	Level of	cocaine and	sexual abuse	points at 4-	risky drinking	quality of life
105. 1942-	Institutes of	evidence:	marijuana	as a child or	month	and cocaine	among HIV
1951.	Health.	Level II	use.	adolescent.	intervals).	use.	patients.
		20,0111					runono.

#7. Timko, C., DeBenedetti, A., & Billow, R. (2006). Intensive referral to a 12-step self- help groups and 6-month substance use disorder	Academic Database. Key words: Randomized controlled trial, substance use disorder, 12- step self-help. Support from the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development (Health Services	Randomized Controlled Trial. Level II of Houser and Oman Seven	Study aim: "This study implemented and evaluated procedures to help clinicians make effective referrals to	Sample was total of 382 patients entering SUD out-patient treatment at a Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) program. The participants were judged eligible for the study if clinically judged by clinical staff to be cognitively able to understand the study's	Individuals with substance use disorder entering a new treatment episode were assigned randomly to a standard referral or an intensive referral to self-help condition. 12 counselors were assigned randomly to deliver either the standard or intensive referral condition. Patients were followed at 6	Outcome measures: The Addiction Severity Index (ASI) was used to collect information on patient's substance use. To measure the 12-step Self- help group attendance the AA Affiliation Scale (AAAS) was used. "Among patients with relatively less previous 12- step meeting attendance, intensive referral was associated with more meeting attendance during follow-up than was	"The brief intensive referral intervention was associated with improved 12- step group involvement and substance use outcomes even among patients with considerable previous 12- step group exposure and formal treatment. Future 12- step intensive referral procedures should focus on encouraging 12-step group involvement in addition to attendance to benefit
						-	
				-			
outcomes.	Research and	Tiered levels	12-step self-	questionnaire	months after	standard	patients most
Addiction,	Development	of Evidence	help groups"	and interview	their intake to	referral" (p.	effectively"
101. 678-688.	Service).	(p. 141).	(p. 678).	procedures.	out-patient.	678).	(p. 678).

				Г			
					Data from the		
					36 women		
					enrolled were		
					examined to		
					determine		
					whether		
					symptoms of		
					depression		
					and anxiety		
					would		
					improve with		
					treatment and		
					whether these		
					improvements		
					would		
					demonstrate		
					durability		
					over the		
					follow up		
					period.	Primary	
					Differences	outcome	
					between the	measures	
					WRG	included two	
					(Women's	self-report	
					Recovery	measures the	
#8. McHugh,					Group) and	Beck Anxiety	
R.K. &					the GDC	Inventory	
Greenfield,					(Group	(BAI) and the	
S.F. (2010).		Randomized		Thirty six	Counseling	BDI) and the	
Psychiatric		clinical trial.		women who	Group) were	Addiction	
symptom	Academic	This		met the criteria	examined	Severity	"This study
improvement	Search	women's		for a diagnosis	using	Index.	demonstrated
in women	Premier.	recovery		of current	independent	Although	significant
following	Key words:	group study		substance	samples t-	there were no	psychiatric
group	substance	was a trial	The study	dependence	tests and X2	group	symptom
substance	dependence,	comparing a	aim was to	(DSM-IV)	tests. "Both	differences in	reduction
abuse	relapse	new manual-	examine the	were enrolled	between and	psychiatric	that remained
treatment:	prevention,	based group	course of	in a Stage I	within subject	symptom	durable
Results from	co-occurring	treatment for	psychiatric	group therapy	subjects	improvement,	through 6
the women's	disorders,	women with	symptoms	treatment	changes in	analyses	months of
recovery group	gender,	substance	among	development	symptoms	demonstrated	follow up for
study. Journal	depression,	abuse	women	trial.	over time	significant	women
of Cognitive	anxiety. This	disorder with	receiving	Participants	were	within	receiving
Psychotherapy,	study was	Group drug	substance	were recruited	examined	subject	group
An	supported in	counseling.	abuse group	from substance	using	improvement	therapy
International	part by	Level II	therapy as	abuse	repeated	in depression,	focused on
Quarterly, 24,	grants from	from the	part of a	treatment	measures of	anxiety, and	substance
(1). 26-36. doi:	the National	Seven tiered	stage I	facilities and	analysis of	general	abuse relapse
10.1891/0889-	Institute on	levels of	clinical	community	variance	psychiatric	prevention"
8391.24.1.26	Drug Abuse.	evidence.	trial.	advertisements.	(ANOVA).	symptoms.	(p. 26).
0391.24.1.20	Diug Abuse.	evidence.	ulai.	auvertisements.	(AIIOVA).	symptoms.	(P. 20).

		r		1		1	
					In the CM		
					condition		
					patients		Conclusions:
				Participants	earned		"These data
				were 239	opportunities		demonstrate
				outpatients	to put their		that CM
				initiating	names in a		delivered in
				treatment at	hat based on		the context of
				one of two	attendance		outpatient
			The	community-	and		group
			objective of	based clinics	submission of		counseling
			this study	located in	drug-negative		can increase
			evaluated	urban areas	samples. Data		attendance
		The research	whether	in Southern	analyses		and improve
		design is a	adding CM	Connecticut.	methods: T-		drug
		randomized	to group-	"The sample	tests and chi-		abstinence"
		trial. Level II	based	size of about	square tests		(p. 686). The
	Academic	level of	outpatient	120 patients	compared		researchers
	Journals	evidence.	treatment	per condition	baseline		note this
	Database.	Substance	would	was	characteristics		study is one
	Key words:	abusing	increase	estimated	between		of the first
	contingency	patients	attendance	from meta-	treatment		randomized
	management,	initiating	and drug	analyses of	conditions.	"Primary	studies to
	substance	outpatient	abstinence	CM	Survival	outcomes	evaluate CM
	abuse,	treatment at 2	relative to	interventions	analysis	were total	when
	treatment,	community	standard	on	evaluated	number of	delivered
	group	based clinics	care.	attendance"	differences	group	entirely in the
	therapy.	were	"Typically	(p. 687).	between	counseling	context of
	Researchers	randomized	reinforces	Criteria: if	treatment	sessions	group
	are from the	to standard	are provided	began	conditions in	attended and	counseling
	University of	care with	on an	intensive	terms of days	longest	sessions. "As
#9. Petry, N.M.,	Connecticut	frequent	individual	outpatient	until	durations of	such it
Weinstock, J., &	School of	urine sample	basis to	treatment at	discharge	attendance	represents an
Alessi, S.M.	Medicine.	monitoring	patients for	one of the	from the	and	important
(2011). A	The research	for 12 weeks	submitting	clinics within	clinic.	abstinence	step toward
randomized trial	and	(SC) or that	drug-	72 hours, met	"Logistic	achieved" (p.	bringing CM
of contingency	preparation	same	negative	DSM	regression	689).	into the
management	of this report	treatment	samples" (p.	diagnosis of	identified	Additional	hands of
delivered in the	were funded	with	686). Most	cocaine,	predictors of	measures	providers,
context of group	by National	contingency	treatment is	opioid or	a negative	included the	who rarely
counseling.	Institutes of	management	provided in a	alcohol abuse	toxicology	Addiction	provide
Journal of	Health Grants	(CM)	group	or	screen at the	Severity	individual
Consulting and	and by a	delivered in	context and	dependence,	most distal	Index and	treatment to
Clinical	General	the context of	poor	age 18 or	12-month	the HIV Risk	patients in
Counseling, 79,	Clinical	group	attendance is	older, and	follow up	Behavior	community
(5). 686-696. doi:	Research	counseling	a substantial	English	evaluation"	Scale	settings" (p.
10.1037/a0024813	Center Grant.	sessions.	concern.	speaking.	(p. 689).	(HRBS).	694).

	ſ		1	1		1	1
							Conclusion:
							"MBRP
							appears to
				The comple			influence
				The sample was 168			cognitive an behavioral
				participants			responses to
				recruited		All measures	depressive
				from a		were self-	symptoms,
				private,		reports	partially
				nonprofit		administered	explaining
				service		via a web-	reductions i
				agency that		based	post
				provided		assessment	intervention
				both		program. The	substance us
				inpatient and		Timeline	among the
				outpatient		Follow-Back	MBPR
				care for		was used to	group" (p.
				alcohol and		assess	362). Data
				other drug		alcohol or	from this
				use disorders.		other drug	study offer
				All		use. The	some
				participants		Penn Alcohol	preliminary
				were fluent		Craving	empirical
				in English	" A	Scale (PACS)	support for
				and had	"Approximately 73% of the	was adapted	the benefits
				completed intensive	sample was	to include craving for	of integratin mindfulness
				outpatient or	retained at the	alcohol and	training with
				inpatient	final 4 month	for other	relapse
				treatment	follow up	drugs.	prevention
				within the	assessment" (p.	Depression	treatment ar
				previous 2	362). "To test	was assessed	identify one
		Research		weeks.	the first	with the Beck	potential
		design		"Excluded	hypothesis that	Depression	mechanism
		randomized		from the	there is a	Inventory.	of change
		trial.		study were	strong, positive	Results	following
#10.		Individuals		those with	relation among	confirmed a	MBPR.
Witkeiwitz,		with		current	depressive	moderated-	Studies of
K., Bowen,		substance use		psychosis,	symptoms,	mediation	related
S. (2010).	D (1	disorders	The goal in	dementia,	craving and	effect,	behaviors
Depression,	Database:	were	this study	imminent	substance use,	whereby	such as
craving and substance use	psycARTICLES. Key words:	recruited after	was to examine the	suicide risk,	we examined the associations	craving mediated the	pathological
following a	mindfulness	intensive	relation	or significant risk for	between	relation	gambling ar binge eating
randomized	based relapse	stabilization	between	withdrawal,	depression	between	could shed
trial of	prevention,	and then	measures of	those unable	scores, craving	depressive	light on a
mindfulness-	substance use,	randomly	depressive	to attend	scores, and	symptoms	potentially
based relapse	craving,	assigned to	symptoms,	treatment due	substance use	and substance	common rol
prevention.	negative affect,	either 8	craving and	to high risk	outcomes for	use among	of the
Journal of	depression.	weekly	substance use	of relapse or	both	the treatment	relation
Consulting	Research was	sessions of	following	continued	intervention	as usual	between
and Clinical	supported by a	MBRP or a	MBRP	heavy use, as	conditions	group but not	negative
Psychology,	National	treatment as	(mindfulness-	determined	using path	among	affective
78, (3). 362-	Institute on Drug	usual control	based relapse	by agency	analyses" (p.	MBRP	states and
374.	Abuse Grant.	group.	prevention).	staff.	365).	participants.	craving

			across a broader clas of addictive behaviors.

		ſ	Γ	Γ	ſ	Γ	
#11. Kelly, J.F., Magill, M., & Stout, R.L. (2009). How do people recover from alcohol dependence? A systematic review of the research on mechanisms of behavior change in Alcoholics Anonymous. Addiction Research and	Academic Search Database. Key words: self-help, mutual help groups, addiction, alcoholism, recovery. No funding source or	Level one level of evidence. Systematic review of research. "Empirical studies examining AA's mechanisms were located from searches in Pubmed, Medline, PsyINFO, Social Service Abstracts and from published reference lists" (p. 236). Thirteen studies completed full meditational tests. Another six were included that had	The purpose of this study is to examine the research on mechanisms of behaviour change in AA. "Exactly how AA achieves these beneficial outcomes is less well understood, yet greater elucidation of AA's mechanisms could inform our understanding of addiction recovery and the timing and content of alcohol- related	See Section C on studies that are included in this systematic review, the databases searched etc. The following search terms were included: AA or Alcoholic Anonymous, or Self-Help or 12=step and mediators or mechanisms or process. The studies identified for inclusion were English language published between 1990	Studies of central interest were primary analyses from naturalistic research on community groups or 12- step oriented programs or secondary analyses from controlled clinical trials on TSF. "Included studies conducted formal tests of statistical mediation or an	The extracted studies' mechanisms of focus fell into three classes: 1. Common factors (i.e., self-efficacy, commitment to abstinence, active coping efforts, 2. specific AA practices and 3. more explicit constructs related to AA's theory of change (e.g. social network variables,	"Despite AA's clearly "spiritual" roots, language and emphasis, this central aspect of AA has received very limited research attention. The few studies that have examined spirituality have not found it to be a clear mechanism" (p. 254). The authors' conclusion is that AA may have ignored its most potent influence on individuals' recovery- "that of social group dynamics in the AA meeting, the broader fellowship, and the expression of support that can be healing to
Research and Theory, 17,	source or conflict of	had completed	related interventions"	between 1990 and 2007	an approximation"	variables, spirituality	healing to many" (p.
(3). 236-259.	interest listed.	partial tests.	(p. 236).	(inclusive).	(p. 242).	variables).	252).

 #12. Sobell, L.C. & Sobell, M.B. (2009). Randomizol (2009). <li< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th></th><th></th></li<>			1	1	1	1		
Sobell, M.B. (2009).IndexIndexIndexIndexRandomized controlledIndexIndexSubstance substance abuseIndexIndexcognitive- behavioral in a groupIndexIndexIndexOutcome measuresIndexintervention in a groupIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexversus substance individual individual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexidsorder. 23. (4), 672- 683.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexidsorder. 683.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexidsorder. 683.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindividual idsorder.IndexInde	#12. Sobell,				The			
(2009). Randomized controlled trial of a cognitive behavioral in a group versus in a group versus intarvention in a group versus intarvention in a group versus intarvention in a group versus disorder. The versuble. versus disorder. The versuble. versus disorder. The versus disorder. The versus disorders. 23, (4), 672- 683.Outcome versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. versus disorders. determine determin								
Randomized controlled regnitive- cognitive- regnitive- intervention in a group versus individual iformat for substance andiversitional in a group versusIndividual intervention in a group versusIndividual intervention in a group versusOntcome measures: regnitive- individual iformat for absectOntcome measures: regnitive- individual iformat for absect individual iformat for absect isorders.Ontcome measures: regnitive- individual iformat for absect isorders.Ontcome measures: regnitive- individual iformat for absect isorders.Ontcome measures: regnitive- individual iformat for absect isorders.Ontcome measures: regnitive- individual iformat for absect isorders.Ontcome measures: regnitive- individual iformat for absect individual iformat for iformat for i								
controlled trail of a cognitive- behavioral intervention in a group versus in a group versus intervention in a group versus intaryention in a group versus individualindex intervention in a group versus individualoutcome individual intervention in a group versus individualoutcome individual intervention individualOutcome measures: radomly uestion individualOutcome measures: individual individualOutcome measures: individualformat for substance disorders. 23, (4), 672- 683.individual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualRehaviors, 683.individual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual intervention individualindividual intervention individualindividual intervention individualindividual intervention individualindividual intervention individualindividual intervention intervention interventionindividual intervention intervention interventionindividual intervention interventionindividual intervention interventionindividual intervention interventionindividual intervention interventionindividual interventionindividual intervention intervention interventionindividual intervention intervention interventionindividual intervention intervention intervention intervention intervention intervention intervention intervention intervention intervention int								
trial of a cognitive- behavioral motivational intervention in a group versus individual format for substruce use disorder. New versus individual format for disorder. New versus individual format for substruce use disorder. <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviora</i> <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviora</i> <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviora</i> <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviora</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviora</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviora</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviora</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>Confidence</i> <i>C</i>	Randomized				substance			
cognitive- bchavioral intervention in a group versus ion a group resustant for substance use disorders.c karl substance individual format for substance use disorders.c karl substance individual is a group termaterc karl substance individual is a group resustance individual is a group is	controlled				abuse			
behavioral motivational intervention in a group versusneasures measures primaryprincipants were blocked on gender and primaryconcome measures primaryversus individual format for disorders. 23, (4), 672- 683.eefile substance substance(TLPB), the teratment radomize either group(DUFRQ), and teratment radomize either group(DUFRQ), and teratment radomize either group(DUFRQ), and teratment radomize either group(DUFRQ), and teratment, reatment, teratment, 	trial of a				disorder. The			
motivational in a group versus individual format for substance use disorders. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.sand in a group individual individualwere blocked on gender and primary ausstance individual individual individual individualmeasures: Follow back individual individual individualBehaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.individual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualBehaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.individual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualBehaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.individual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualindividual individualBehaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.individual individualindi	cognitive-				287 eligible			
intervention in a group versus individual format for disorders. <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviors</i> , 23, (4), 672- 683. Individual indivi	behavioral				participants		Outcome	
in a group versus individual format for substance use disorders. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.	motivational				were blocked		measures:	
versus individual format for substance use disorders. <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviors</i> , <i>23</i> , (4), 672- 683.	intervention				on gender and		The Timeline	
individual format for substance use disorders. <i>Psychology of</i> <i>Addictive</i> <i>Behaviors</i> , <i>23</i> , (4), 672- 683. Here is a standing of the standin	in a group				primary		Follow back	
format for substance use disorders. Image: Signed to substance use disorders. Image: Use disorders. Image: Signed to substance use disorders. Image: Use disorders.	versus				substance		(TLFB), the	
substance use disorders. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683. Note: Statument of the statument of the statument. 23, (4), 672- 683. Note: Statument of the statument of the statument. Statument of the statument of the statument of the statument. Statument of the statument	individual				problem then		Drug Use	
disorders. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683. Addictive Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683. Addictive Behaviors, 24, (4), (4), (4), (4), (4), (4), (4), (4	format for				randomly		History	
Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.image Randomized controlled trail. This study a difference controlled trail. This studytreatment. reatment.image reatment. problem reatment.image reatment. (Confidence problem alcohol primary drug primary drug primary drug primary drug primary drug primary drug primary drug up alcohol alcohol and large alcohol significantimage reatment containment containment containment containment containment determine determine determine determine treatment.image reatment reatment primary drug primary drug primary drug primary drug up alcohol alcohol alcohol alcohol drug use during with no significant during with no significant during significant during treatment al treatment al 	substance use				assigned to		Questionnaire	
Addictive Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683.Situational reatment, Participants abses, emperation abuses, wubstance producted in treatment, a wubstance program at the cost- treatment, a wubstance problems and controlled treatment, a wubstance promiserSituational Confidence Questionnaire (SCQ).This study had three have a alcohol problem (Guided Self- Change problem problem (Guided Self- Change treatment, a with nbSituational Confidence (SCQ).Thealth care cost cost cost cost cost cost cost cost cost"Health care cost cost cost cost cost cost cost cost"Health care cost cost cost cost cost costRandomized trial.Randomized trial.Model) could be effectively effectary of treatment, a to evaluate the format, to program at the cost- treatment a to evaluate to evaluate the cost- to ronto to dest cost- to ronto to substance to ronto to dest serves tor	disorders.				either group		(DUHQ), and	
Behaviors, 23, (4), 672- 683. Image: Second Sec	Psychology of				treatment or		the	
23, (4), 672- 683. Fiss study had three bad t	Addictive				individual		Situational	
683. had three objectives: to objectives: to whether the GSCTM have a objectives: to objectives: to alcohol mave a primary alcohol "Treatment outcomes "Health care demonstrated 683. Randomized GSCTM (PAP) or a (Guided Self- Change primary problem "Treatment outcomes "Health care demonstrated "Treatment outcomes 683. Randomized GSCTM (PAP) or a (Guided Self- Change primary drug problem alcohol outpatient alcohol during treatment containment 684. Randomized Randomized format, to program at alcohol entreatment treatment at UDS" (p. 7000 reatment, a GSC for unit of the Controlled significant demonstrate 632. freatment, a GSC for unit of the Controlled significant demonstrate cognitive- treating drug problems and format, to program at between the GSCTM Self Change Randomized with no evidence guided-self evaluate format, to program at between the GSCTM Self Change Randomized GSCTM Self Change	Behaviors,							
Academic promine compared guided-self controlled trial. Thisobjectives: to determine determine (Guided Self- problem Treatmentproblem problem problem problem"Treatment domonstrated significant containment alcohol and drug use drug use drug use drug use drug use drug use treatment"Health care cost containment alcohol and drug use drug use treatment at guided-self compared guided-self change (GSC)objectives: to prost erfectively entered an program at reatment at the full common subtance"Treatment compared format, to evaluations of alcohol and program at evaluations of alcohol and drug use during treatment at treatment at subtance guided-self compared format, to evaluate the the subtance group compared freating drug freating drug freati				~	Participants			
Image: solution of the sector of the secto	683.			had three	have a		(SCQ).	
Image: source not				objectives: to	primary		"Treatment	
Image: second				determine	alcohol		outcomes	"Health care
Image: Source not(Guided Self- Change Treatmentprimary drug problem (Dange (PDP) andand large reductions in client's alcohol and drug usecompels further evaluations of alcohol and drug usecompels further further evaluations of alcohol and the follow-upcompels further evaluations of alcohol and the follow-upfurther evaluations of alcohol and the follow-upfurther further evaluations of alcohol and the follow-upfurther further evaluationAcademic promivational therapy, albuser therapy, abusers and therapy, abusers and therand treatment, treatment, <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>whether the</td><td>problem</td><td></td><td>demonstrated</td><td>cost</td></b<>				whether the	problem		demonstrated	cost
Image: Section of the section of th				GSCTM	(PAP) or a		significant	containment
Image: Second				(Guided Self-	primary drug		and large	compels
Randomized controlled trial. ThisModel) could be effectively trial. ThisModel) could be effectively entered an outpatientalcohol and drug use drug use duringthe efficacy of groupRCT compared guided-self change (GSC)in as group froamt, to efficacy of treatment, atreatment compared of grouptreatment treatmentthe 12-month follow-up672). Two follow-upAcademic Premiercognitive- behavioraltreating drug problems and to evaluateSelf Change AddictionMethod Trial.follow-up significant demonstratelines of evaluateAcademic groupcognitive- treatment, atreating drug of GSCAddiction ConrolloTrial.differences differencesthat the demonstrateAcademic groupconducted in treatment, aproblems and effectivelyResearch Canada.Assessment of usbstancebetween the group and effectivelyGSCTM was effectivelyMethod therapy, a groupof GSCCriteria treatment for individualChange Canada.other throughout treatment at treatment at treatment at treatment at treatment at treatment for treatment for treatment for treatment for therapy, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, abuses, the cost- treatment, treatment, treatment for treatment for treatment for treatment for the cost- treatment at treatment for the cost- treatment for treatment for treatme				Change	problem		reductions in	further
controlled trial. This compared guided-selfbe effectively implemented in as groupentered an outpatient treatment program atdrug use during treatment at the 12-month belf to 22. TwoAcademic Premiercompared guided-self change (GSC) treatment, a treatment, a compared treatment, a Database.format, to evaluate the of GSC treatment, a treating drug AddictionMethod the 12-month significantfollow-up times of treatment, a demostrateAcademic Database.conguitive- treating drug treating drugGSC for treating drug AddictionMethod the Controlled of substance abuse and individualMethod the cost- to substancefollow-up significant demostrateAcademic prop groupconducted in effectively therapy, therapy, a groupformat versus of GSC treating drug therapy, a groupformat versus format with treatment for treating drug therapy, a groupother therapy therapy, a groupformat versus format with treatment for treating drug therapy, abusers and problems and therapy, abusers and problems and therapy, abusers and problems and therating drug therapy, abusers and problems and therating drug therapy, abusers and problems and therating drug therating drug therat				Treatment	(PDP) and		client's	evaluations of
Image: source nottrial. This RCTimplemented in as group format, to guided-selfoutpatient treatment program at the 12-monthduring treatment at the 12-monthtreatment source SUD's" (p. 672). TwoAcademiccompared guided-selfevaluate the change (GSC) treatment, aefficacy of GSC for treating drugSelf Change AddictionMethodMothod follow-upfollow-up lines of evidenceAcademiccognitive- treatment, atreating drug problems and behavioral groupAddiction to evaluateTrial.differences that the group and assessmentdemonstrate effectively individualAcademiccognitive- treatment, atreating drug problems and to evaluateAddiction Foundation in of substance abuse and individualGSCT was sessesmentSessessment therapy, a groupSelf Change conducted in effectivelsother therapy, a groupof GSC treita included Selfother therapy, therapy, a groupof GSC treita therapyother therapy, therapy, a groupChange)Signed an therating drug treatment, at treatment at treatment at treatment, at treatment at therapy, abusers and problems and problems and therapy, abusers who the cost-Green at treatment, at treatment at treatment, at treatment, at the dould that it treatment at the dould that it treatment at treatment, woluntarily effectivenessconsent, at treatment, at treatment at treatment at treatment, moth post treatment at treatment at the group condenstrated <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Randomized</td><td>Model) could</td><td>voluntarily</td><td></td><td>alcohol and</td><td>the efficacy</td></b<>			Randomized	Model) could	voluntarily		alcohol and	the efficacy
RCTin as group format, totreatment program attreatment at the 12-monthSUD's" (p. 672). Twoguided-selfevaluate the change (GSC) treatment, aevaluate the officacy ofthe GuidedMethodfollow-uplines of significantAcademiccognitive- treatment, aGSC for unit of theunit of the ControlledControlledsignificant significantdifferencesthat thePremierbehavioral Database.problems and notivationalResearchAssessment of substancebetween the group andGSCTM wasgroupconducted in effectivelyeffectiveness individualCanada.othertherapy treatment, individualimplemented individualinterviewing, cognitive-individualChange)Signed an pretreatment, individualcocnada.othertherapyinterviewing, cognitive-individualChange)Signed an pretreatment, individualconsent, at treatment for informedtreatment at treatment for informedtreatment at throughoutfound that it towalscomparable comparablebehavioral212 alcohol therapy, abusers and problems and therapyforge, not month post the groupfound that it the group constratedfollow ups. the groupclients using the group constratedinterviewing, controlledsoughtof GSCevidence of informedfollow ups. treatment, the analconditions' treatment, towalsfollow ups. treatment, tr			controlled	be effectively	entered an		drug use	of group
compared guided-self change (GSC)format, to evaluate the evaluate the efficacy of GSC for unit of theprogram at the Guided Randomized Controlledthe 12-month follow-up672). Two lines of evidence significantAcademic Orgenie Premiercognitive- behavioral Database. motivationalGSC for treating drug to evaluateMethod Randomized ControlledMethod significantMethod with no significant of substance group and a group therapy, a groupGSC for to evaluateMethod Randomized ControlledMethod significant differencesMethod evidence significant differencesMethod therapy, therapy, a groupintervention, effectivelsResearch to evaluateAssessment Foundation in of substance of substance occurred at treating drug to conducted in effectively in a group format: the cognitive- format with treating drug therapy, abusers and substanceOther therapy, the cost- treating drug to evaluateOther therapy therapy, in a group to conducted in informed treating drug to evaluateOther treating drug to evaluateOther treating drug to consent, at treatment and treatment and throughout treatment and to consent, at treatment and to consent, at treatment and to conduct the treatment, voluntarily substanceSelf change treatment treatment and to evaluateSelf change treatment, at the agroup treatment, at the agroup to consent, at treatment, no treatment, woluntarily treatment, voluntarily treatment, or treatment, all treatment, or treatment			trial. This	implemented	outpatient		during	treatments for
guided-self change (GSC) treatment, aevaluate the efficacy of GSC for treatment, athe Guided Self Change unit of the Academic Controlledfollow-up significant demonstrate the demonstrateAcademic Premier Database. Database. motivational treatment, acognitive- treatment, atreating drug problems and effectivenessAddiction ResearchTrial. Assessment of substance abuse and individualdifferences that the GSCTM was effectively individualKey words: group therapy, conducted in therapy, conducted in therapy, a groupeffectiveness the cost- treatment for individual treatment for informedother therage threatment, the cost- treatment and formatformat: the the cost- treatment and treatment and to evaluateother therapy, the cost- treatment and treatment and threatment and substanceformat: the to analysis to analysis comparable to accurred at treatment and threatment and threatment and threatment and threatment and threatment and threatment and threatment and threatment, threatment and threatment, threatment, threatment, threatment, threatment, threatment, the group soughtformat: the threatment, threat			RCT	in as group	treatment		treatment at	SUD's" (p.
change (GSC) treatment, aefficacy of GSC forSelf Change unit of theRandomized Controlledwith noevidenceAcademic Premiercognitive- behavioraltreating drug problems and to evaluateAddictionTrial.differences significantthat the GSCTM wasDatabase. groupmotivational intervention, therapy, therapy, a groupto evaluate effectivenessFoundation in Canada.of substance of substance of substanceof substance individualimplemented implementedMotivational therapy, therapy, therapy, a groupof GSC of GSCCriteria included: consent, at treatment for informedother theraps theraps throughouttherapy in a group in a groupOptimize- behavioralformat with treatment for therapy, a busers and problems and therapy, abusers and problems andSigned an informed informed treatment at treatment and treatment and treatment at for therapy, abusers and problems and problems and behavioralClauge treatment at treatment, no the cost- treatment, no treatment, no follow ups. treatment treatment treatment, woluntarily treatment, woluntarily effectivered in a groupof age, not treatment, no follow ups. treatment treatment treatment, no treatmentwersus the the group cohesiveness, consitive- the group to evaluateof age, not treatment, no follow ups. treatment treatment, the group cohesiveness, controlled treatment, voluntarily treatment, reatment, no follow ups. clients using high trea			compared	format, to	program at		the 12-month	672). Two
Academictreatment, aGSC forunit of theControlledsignificantdemonstrateAcademiccognitive-treating drugAddictionTrial.differencesthat thePremierbehavioralproblems andResearchAssessmentbetween theGSCTM wasDatabase.motivationalto evaluateFoundation inof substancegroup andeffectivelygroupconducted ineffectivenessCriteriavariablesconditions"format: thegroupconducted ineffectivenessCriteriavariablesconditions"format: themotivationalversus(Guided Selfincluded:occurred at(p. 672). "AGT clientsinterviewing,individualChange)Signed anpretreatment,therapist timehadcognitive-format withtreating drugconsent, attreatment andfound that itoutcomes totherapy,abusers andproblems andleast 18 yearsat 6-and 12took 41.4 %IT clients andsubstance52 drugto evaluateof age, notmonth postless therapistthe groupsabuse,abusers whothe cost-mandated totreatmenttime to treatdemonstraterandomizedsoughtof GSCevidence ofThethe groupcohesiveness,abuser,abusers whothe cost-mandated totreatmenttime ot treatdemonstratetherapy,abusers who </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>guided-self</td> <td>evaluate the</td> <td>the Guided</td> <td>Method</td> <td></td> <td>lines of</td>			guided-self	evaluate the	the Guided	Method		lines of
Academiccognitive- behavioraltreating drug problems and to evaluateAddictionTrial.differencesthat thePremierbehavioral Database.motivational intervention, groupmotivational intervention, groupto evaluateFoundation in of substance abuse and effectivenessToronto abuse and abuse and otherbetween the therapyGSCTM was effectively in a groupgroupconducted in therapy, a groupeffectiveness of GSCCriteria includel:othertherapy therapistin a group in a groupnotivational therapy, interviewing, individual(Guided Self individual Change)cocurred at informed(p. 672). "A throughout ratio analysisGT clientsinterviewing, individualChange)Signed an pretreatment, informedpretreatment, throughout throughoutfound that it to consent, at treating drug to evaluateconsent, at treatment and found that itoutcomes to therapy, abusers and abusers who the cost-motivation problems and te evaluateleast 18 years to evaluateto evaluate treatment, no follow ups.clients using the group cohesiveness, the group cohesiveness, the cost-motivation treatment, no follow ups.clients using the group cohesiveness, the groupabuse, abuse, abuse, abuse, abuse, controlledoutpatient delivered in a group versusformat the group treatment, no organic brain assessmentformat' (p.effectiveabuse controlledo			change (GSC)	efficacy of	Self Change	Randomized	with no	evidence
Premierbehavioral motivationalproblems and to evaluateResearch Foundation in of substanceAssessment group and abuse and abuse and individualbetween the effectively implemented abuse and abuse and otherGSCTM was effectively implemented individualgroupconducted in therapy, a groupeffectiveness of GSCCanada. Criteriaothertherapy variablesin a group in a grouptherapy, motivationala group versusof GSCCriteria included:occurred at throughout(p. 672). "A ratio analysisGT clientsinterviewing, cognitive- behavioralformat with treatment for therapy, abusers and behavioralChange)Signed an treating drug consent, at treatment at treatment and throughout treatment at therapy, abusers and abuse, abusers who the cost- treatment, voluntarilyprobems and treatment, of format with treatment, of treatment, of treatment, of treatment, of treatment, of treatment, of treatment, voluntarily effectiveness treatment, voluntarily effectiveness treatment, of delivered in a group versusAssessment organic brain assessment organic brain assessmentbetween the demostrated the group cohesiveness, abusers who the cost- treatment, voluntarily effectivenessResearch treatment, or probable organic brain assessment assessment individualGSCTM was effectively implemented treatment, versus the an important effectivetrail. Funding source notLevel II levelindividualdelivered in a grou						Controlled		demonstrate
Database. Key words: groupmotivational intervention, groupto evaluate intervention, effectivenessFoundation in Torontoof substance abuse andgroup and individualeffectively implementedgroup therapy, notivationalconducted in effectivenesseffectiveness (Guided Self included:Canada.othertherapy therapyin a group format: the occurred atothertherapyin a groupmotivational interviewing, cognitive- behavioralversus(Guided Self treatment for informat with treatment for treating drug to evaluateSigned an informedpretreatment, treatment and treatment and found that itoccurred at treatment and treatment and found that itoccurrent at outcomes to treatment and treatment and treatment, treatment and the cost- treatment and substanceTo evaluate treatment, treatment, treatment, the cost- treatment, the cost- treatment, treatment, treatment, voluntarilyof age, not evaluatemotivational treatment, no treatment, no follow ups.effectively individualeffectiveness treatment, treatment, treatment, treatment, treatment, treatment, treatment, treatment, voluntarilyforsc evaluateof age, not evaluatemotivational treatment, no treatment, no follow ups.clients using the group cohesiveness, clients using high treatment, treatment, treatment, treatment, controlledmotivational treatment, delivered in a group versuspretreatment organic brain assessment assessment individual </td <td></td> <td>Academic</td> <td>cognitive-</td> <td>treating drug</td> <td>Addiction</td> <td>Trial.</td> <td>differences</td> <td>that the</td>		Academic	cognitive-	treating drug	Addiction	Trial.	differences	that the
Key words: groupintervention, conducted in therapy, a groupthe cost- effectivenessToronto Canada.abuse and otherindividual therapy therapy, in a groupimplemented in a groupnotivational interviewing, cognitive- behavioralagroup versusof GSC (Guided Self included: Change)Criteria signed an pretreatment, throughoutconditions" ratio analysis to explanate to explanate therapy, abusers and substanceindividual format with treatment for treating drug to evaluateconsent, at of age, not month postratio analysis to explanate to explanate the groupcomparable the groupssubstance abuse, abuse, abuser, abuser, abuser, abuser who treatment, treatment, treatment, the cost- treatment, no the cost- mandated to treatment, no follow ups.individual the group the groupimplemented in a group the groupradomized soughtsought of GSCof age, not evidence of treatment, no follow ups.inter the group the group the group the group the group cohesiveness, organic brain assessmentindividual the group the group the group the group to effectiveimplemented the group to effectivetraditional treatment, treatm		Premier	behavioral	problems and	Research		between the	
group therapy, motivationalconducted in a groupeffectiveness of GSCCanada. Criteriaothertherapy variablesin a group format: themotivational interviewing, cognitive- behavioralversus(Guided Self treatment for format withincluded: treatment for informedoccurred at throughout(p. 672). "A throughoutGT clients hadbehavioral substance212 alcohol abusers and substancetreating drug to evaluateconsent, at of age, not mandated to treatment, no treatment, no follow ups.therapist time therapist time the groupoutcomes to the groupstreatment, randomizedvoluntarily soughteffectiveness of GSCtreatment, no problemsfollow ups.clients using the grouptreatment, randomizedvoluntarily soughtof GSCevidence of of GSCThe the groupthe group cohesiveness, an important the grouptrial. Funding source notLevel II levelindividual individualdamage, damage,lasted about lasted aboutformat" (p.								•
therapy, motivationala group versusof GSCCriteriavariablesconditions"format: the format: theinterviewing, interviewing,individualChange)Signed an informedpretreatment, throughouttherapist time ratio analysishadcognitive- behavioralformat with 212 alcoholtreating drug treating drugconsent, at consent, attreatment and treatment and found that itoutcomes to utcomes tosubstance treatment, abuser, abuse, abuse, abuse, controlled52 drug to evaluateof age, not mandated to treatment, no treatment, no follow ups.clients using the group treatmentthe group cohesiveness, an important the grouprandomized source notoutpatient treatment.of GSCevidence of organic brain andated to treatmentThe the groupthe group cohesiveness, an important the grouprandomized source notcupatient Level II leveldelivered in a individualprobable organic brain assessment assessmentpretreatment (p. the groupeffective		Key words:						-
motivational interviewing, cognitive- behavioralversus individual(Guided Self Change)included: Signed an informedoccurred at pretreatment, throughout(p. 672). "A hadGT clients hadbehavioral therapy, abusers and substance212 alcohol abusers and substancetreating drug problems and to evaluateconsent, at least 18 yearstreatment and at 6-and 12found that it took 41.4 %outcomes to IT clients and the groupsabuse, abuse, abuse, abuse, abuse, abuse, randomized52 drug soughtto evaluate of GSCof age, not evidence of evidence of probablemonth post treatment, no treatment, no pretreatmenttime to treat the group cohesiveness, an important delivered in a group versus organic brain assessmentclients with the groupdelivered in a a the groupcoccurred at pretreatment, assessment assessment(p. 672). "A the analysisGT clients hadtrial. Funding source nottreatment. Level II levelgroup versus individualorganic brain damage,assessment lasted aboutformat" (p.								
interviewing, cognitive- behavioralindividual format withChange) treatment for treating drugSigned an informedpretreatment, throughouttherapist time ratio analysishad comparablebehavioral therapy, substance212 alcohol abusers and substancetreating drug problems and to evaluateconsent, at least 18 yearstreatment and at 6-and 12found that it took 41.4 %outcomes to IT clients and the groupssubstance abuse, abuse, abuse, abuser, abuser, treatment, voluntarilyeffectiveness of GSCmonth post evidence of evidence of probableleast 18 years treatment, no follow ups.least using clients using highhad comparablecontrolled trial. Funding source notoutpatientdelivered in a individualprobable probablepretreatment assessment assessmentversus the an important effectivean important effectivetrial. Funding source notLevel II level individualindividualdamage, amage,lasted about format" (p.effective								
cognitive- behavioralformat with 212 alcoholtreatment for treating druginformed consent, atthroughout treatment and at 6-and 12ratio analysis found that itcomparable outcomes tosubstance abuser, abuser, abuser, abuser, abuser, abuser, abuser, abuser, treatment, randomized controlledtreatment for treatment, outcomes of GSC evidence of probable probablethroughout treatment, no follow ups.ratio analysis found that it took 41.4 %comparable outcomes to IT clients and the groupsrandomized controlledsoughtof GSC delivered in a group versusreatment, organic brain damage,reatment assessment lasted aboutratio analysis found that it treatmentcomparable outcomes to IT clients and the groupstrial. Funding source nottreatment. Level II levelgroup versus individualorganic brain damage,assessment lasted aboutindividual format" (p.								
behavioral therapy, substance212 alcohol abusers and 52 drugtreating drug problems and to evaluateconsent, at least 18 yearstreatment and at 6-and 12found that it took 41.4 %outcomes to IT clients and the groupsabuse, abuse, abuse, treatment, randomized controlled52 drug of ugatientto evaluate effectivenessof age, not mandated to treatment, no problems and treatment, no follow ups.treatment clients using high clients using highoutcomes to IT clients and the groupscontrolled trial. Funding source notoutpatientdelivered in a individualprobable organic brain damage,pretreatment assessment lasted aboutfound that it took 41.4 %outcomes to IT clients and took 41.4 %behavioral trial. Funding source notLevel II levelindividualdamage, damage,lasted aboutformat" (p.		0.			-	-		
therapy, substance abuse,abusers and 52 drug abuse,problems and to evaluateleast 18 years of age, not mandated toat 6-and 12 treatmenttook 41.4 % less therapistIT clients and the groupstreatment, randomized controlledvoluntarily of GSCeffectiveness evidence of organic braintreatment pretreatmenttook 41.4 % less therapistIT clients and the groupstreatment, randomized soughtvoluntarily of GSCeffectiveness evidence of organic brain delivered in a group versustreatment, pretreatmentthe group cohesiveness, an important effectivetrial. Funding source nottreatment. Level II levelgroup versus individualgroup damage,assessment lasted aboutformat" (p.		-				-		-
substance abuse, treatment, randomized source not52 drug abusers who voluntarily treatment, treatmentto evaluate the cost- effectiveness of GSC evidence of probablemonth post treatment, no treatment, no follow ups.less therapist the group clients using the group cohesiveness, an important effectivesubstance treatment, randomized controlled52 drug abusers who voluntarily of GSC delivered in a group versus organic brain damage,month post treatment treatmentless therapist the groups clients using the group cohesiveness, an important element for element for element for lasted aboutless therapist the groups clients using the group cohesiveness, an important element for effective								
abuse, treatment,abusers who voluntarilythe cost- effectivenessmandated to treatment, no evidence oftreatment follow ups.time to treat clients using high clients using the groupdemonstrated high cohesiveness, an important effectiveabuse, treatment, randomizedabusers who voluntarilythe cost- effectivenessmandated to treatment, no evidence oftreatmenttime to treat clients using the grouphigh cohesiveness, an important element for effectivecontrolled trial. Funding source notoutpatientdelivered in a group versus individualprobable organic brain damage,pretreatment assessment lasted abouttreat format" (p.effective				-				
treatment, randomized controlledvoluntarily soughteffectiveness of GSCtreatment, no evidence of probablefollow ups.clients using the grouphigh cohesiveness, an importanttrial. Funding source nottreatment.group versus individualprobablepretreatment assessmentversus the individualan important element for effective			U		-	-	less therapist	
randomized controlledsoughtof GSCevidence of probableThe pretreatmentthe groupcohesiveness, an importanttrial. Funding source nottreatment.group versus individualorganic brain damage,assessment lasted aboutindividualelement for effective		abuse,			mandated to			
controlled trial. Funding source notoutpatient treatment.delivered in a group versusprobable organic brain damage,pretreatment assessmentversus the individualan important element for effective		,	•			follow ups.	-	0
trial. Funding source nottreatment.group versus individualorganic brain damage,assessment lasted aboutindividualelement for effective						The	• •	
source not Level II level individual damage, lasted about format" (p. effective			outpatient	delivered in a	-	pretreatment		
		trial. Funding			organic brain	assessment	individual	
listed. of evidence. format. adequate 2-3 hours. 672). groups.				individual	damage,			effective
		listed.	of evidence.	format.	adequate	2-3 hours.	672).	groups.

reading ability, not currently in psychiatric or psychological treatment. Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month follow-up.					
ability, not currently in psychiatric or psychological treatment. Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			reading		
psychological treatment. Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			ability not		
psychological treatment. Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month					
psychological treatment. Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			currently in		
psychological treatment. Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			psychiatric or		
treatment. Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			psychological		
Living in stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			tractment		
stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			treatment.		
stable housing and agreed to a 12-month			Living in		
housing and agreed to a 12-month			stable		
agreed to a 12-month			housing and		
12-month			nousing und		
12-month follow-up.			agreed to a		
follow-up.			12-month		
			follow-up.		
			т. т. т .		

				ſ			113 6 1
							"Males. Blacks and
						Race/ethnicity	individuals
						was self-	with
						reported and	diagnosis of
						recorded into	personality
						five groups.	disorders and
						All diagnoses	history of
						were made	substance use
						according to	co morbidity
						the DSM-IV	exhibited
						criteria. Other outcome	lower hazards of remission
	Academic					variables of	for at least
	Search					remission and	two
#13 Lopez-	Premier. Key					age at	substances"
Quintero,	Words:			Participants:		remission	(p. 657).
Hasin, D.S.,	Alcohol,			Subsamples of		were	Conclusion:
Perez, J., Pines, A.,	cannabis,			individuals		determined by	"A significant
Wang, S.,	cocaine,			with life-time		asking	proportion of
Grant, B.F. &	dependence,			DSM-IV		individuals	individuals
Blanco, C.	nicotine,			diagnosis of		with a life-	with
(2010).	remission. The National			dependence on nicotine		time diagnosis of	dependence on nicotine,
Probability	Epidemiologic			(n=6937),		dependence	alcohol,
and predictors	Survey on			alcohol		specific	cannabis, or
of remission	Alcohol and			(n=4781),		questions.	cocaine
from life-time	Related			cannabis		Findings:	achieve
nicotine, alcohol,	Conditions			(n=530) and		"Life-time	remission at
cannabis or	was sponsored			cocaine		cumulative	some point in
cocaine	by the			(n=408). The		probability	their lifetime,
dependence:	National			target		estimates of	although the
results from	Institute on Alcohol			population was the civilian	"Face to	dependence remission	probability and time to
the National	Abuse and		Aim to	non-	face	were 83.7%	remission
Epidemiologic	Alcoholism		estimate the	institutionalized	computer-	for nicotine,	varies by
Survey on	with		general and	population 18	assisted	90.6% for	substance and
Alcohol and Related	supplemental		racial/ethnic	years and older	interviews	alcohol,	racial/ethnic
Conditions.	support from		specific	residing in	were	97.2% for	group" (p.
Addiction,	the National		cumulative	households and	conducted	cannabis and	657). Other
106, 657-669.	Institute on		probability	group quarters	among a	99.2% for	results are
	Drug Abuse. Work on this		of remission	(college	multi-stage cluster	cocaine" (p. 657). Half of	that approximately
	manuscript	Research	from	quarters, group homes,	sample of	the cases of	two-thirds of
	was supported	Design:	nicotine,	boarding	43093	nicotine,	individuals
	by NIH	National	alcohol, and	houses and	respondents"	alcohol, and	with
	grants, a grant	Epidemiologic	cannabis or	non-transient	(p. 657).	cannabis and	dependence
	from the	Survey using	cocaine	hotels). The	Interviews	cocaine	on any of the
	American	a nationally	dependence	survey included	were	dependence	substances
	Foundation	representative	and to	residents of the	conducted	remitted	assessed had a
	for Suicide	sample from	identify	continental	by	approximately	family history
	Prevention	U.S. adults	predictors	United States,	professional	26, 14, 6 and	of substance
	and the New York State	selected in a	of	District of	interviewers from the	5 years after	use. "Rates of
	Psychiatric	three stage sampling	remission across	Columbia, Alaska and	from the U.S. Census	dependence onset,	early substance use
	Institute.	design.	substances.	Hawaii.	Bureau.	respectively.	onset were
	montate.		Substances.		Survuu.	respectively.	

highest among individuals with dependence, followed by cannabis, alcohol and cocaine" (p. 659).		r	-			
among individuals with dependence, followed by cannabis, alcohol and cocaine" (p.						highest
with dependence, followed by cannabis, alcohol and cocaine" (p.						among
with dependence, followed by cannabis, alcohol and cocaine" (p.						individuals
dependence, followed by cannabis, alcohol and cocaine" (p.						marviath
alcohol and cocaine" (p.						with
alcohol and cocaine" (p.						dependence,
alcohol and cocaine" (p.						followed by
alcohol and cocaine" (p.						cannabis.
cocaine" (p.						alcohol and
						cocaine (p.
						659).

				D 1.1	1		
				Population:			
				Ten			
				participants			"Occupational
				at various			therapists can
				stages in the			contribute to
				recovery			the treatment
				process were			team by
				interviewed.			helping
				All were in			persons with
				some form of			substance
				residential			addictions
				program at a			rebuild their
				centre in			OI
				Southwest			(occupational
				Florida at			identity)
				time of			along with
				interview. All			performance
#14. Martin,				had histories			patterns and
L.M., Smith,				of chronic			performance
M., Rogers,				addiction,			capacity
J., Wallen, T.,				with prior			through
& Boisvert,				treatment and	Interviews		meaningful
R. (2011).			Purposes of	relapse	were		occupations"
Mothers in			study: to	episodes.	conducted at		(p. 158).
recovery: An			discover the	Ages ranged	the campus of		Another
occupational			stories of	from 18-47,	the substance		implication is
perspective.			mothers	nine were	abuse	Major themes	that "our
Occupational			regarding	White and	treatment	were: Toxic	informant's
Therapy		Research		one was		environment,	emphasis on
International,		design:	their journeys	African	agency. Thematic		the value of
18, 152-161.		Qualitative	to addiction	American.		Opportunity in the environment	structure in
		-	and through	Four	analysis was conducted	and	their
		study:	recovery, to				
		narrative	explore the	participants	using an	experimentation,	treatment
		inquiry with	impact of	were alcohol	occupational	Substance use	environment
	CINIALII	thematic	addiction on	dependent	perspective	for coping with	suggests that
	CINAHL	analysis of	the	and four were	and	environmental	some clients
	Database.	data. Level	occupational	addicted to	language-	stress, Altered	may need to
	Key words:	of evidence:	performance	opiates. One	concepts	occupational	learn to
	mothers with	Level VI	of mothers	had a history	from the	identity,	impose
	addictions,	(Level of	and to	of cocaine	Occupational	Disrupted	structure in
	occupational	evidence	identify the	use only	Therapy	performance	their own
	identity,	obtained	factors	whereas four	Practice	patterns,	lives in order
	psychosocial	from a single	perceived by	had a history	Framework	Reduced	to sustain
	occupational	descriptive	these mothers	of cocaine,	and the	performance	their recovery
	therapy. No	study or a	as important	alcohol or	Model of	capacity and	after leaving
	funding	qualitative	in their	opiates use	Human	ongoing issues	treatment" (p.
	source listed.	study).	treatment.	also.	Occupation.	and needs.	159).

				r	1		
		Research					
		Design:				The two	
		Prospective Randomized				primary outcome	
		Controlled				variables	
		Trial.				will be level	
		Participants				of substance	
		will be				sue and	
		recruited from				level of	
		residential				depression.	
		rehabilitation				Outcome	
		programs operated by				measures will include	
		the Australian				the	
		Salvation				Addiction	
		Army.				Severity	
# 15. Kelly, P.J.,		Participants				Index, the	
Kay-Lambkin,		will be				Opiate	
F.J., Baker, A.L.,		randomly				Treatment	
Deane, F.P., Brooks, A.C.,		assigned to either a				Index. The Timeline	
Mitchell, A.,		computer				Follow-	
Marshall, S.,		delivered	Aim of this			Back	
Whittington, M.,	Key	substance	study will be			Method will	
& Dingle, G.A.	Words:	abuse and	to provide	"All	Participants in both the	be used to	
(2012). Study	depression,	depression	comprehensive	participants	computer based	improve	"To ou
protocol: A	substance	intervention or	data on the	who satisfy	substance	participants'	knowle
randomized	abuse, CBT,	to a computer delivered	effect of	the	dependence/depression	recall of their	this is t first stu
controlled trial of a computer-based	alcohol,	typing tutorial.	introducing a	diagnostic criteria for	program and the computer typing	alcohol and	to exan
depression and	computer-	Randomization	delivered	an alcohol	program will complete	substance	the use
substance abuse	based.	will be	cognitive	or other	sessions twice per	use on the	comput
intervention for	Funding	stratified by	behavioral	substance	week over a five week	ASI and	based
people attending	sources:	gender, length	therapy based	dependence	period, Research staff	OTI. This is	comorb
residential	Australian	of time the	co-morbidity	disorder	blind to treatment	an ongoing	interve
substance abuse	Rotary Health	participant has	treatment	will be asked to	allocation will	current study,	within residen
treatment. BMC Public Health, 12,	Health Fund and	been in the program, and	program within a	participate	complete the assessments at	therefore	substan
(113).	the	use of anti-	residential	in the	baseline, and then at 3,	results are	abuse
doi:10.1186/1471-	Salvation	depressant	substance	study" (p.	6, 9, and 12 months	not	setting'
2458-12-113	Army.	medication.	abuse setting.	1).	post intervention.	available.	8).

#16 M-V	1	1	1	1			1
#16. McKay,							
J.R. & Hiller-							
Sturmhofel,							
S. (2011).							
Treating							
alcoholism as							
a chronic							
disease.							
Alcohol							
Research &							Conclusions:
Health, 33,						The authors	The studies
(4). 356-370.						drew general	suffered from
						conclusions	a range of
						about existing	limitations
						controlled	that point to
						studies of	areas to be
	Academic					continuing	addressed in
	Search					care	future
	Database.					interventions.	research.
	Keywords					Studies of	"Little is
	Alcohol and					more recent	known about
	other drug					interventions	the
	disorders				This review	are more	mechanisms
	(AODD),				provides a	likely to find	that
	disease theory				selective of	positive	contribute to
	of alcohol				available	results than	the
	and other				treatment	older studies.	interventions'
	drug use				options.	"This	efficacy in
	(AODU),				Literature	suggests than	studies in
	chronic				searches of	both the	positive
	disease				the Medline	interventions	outcomes" (p.
	treatment,		The purpose		and	and their	359). Another
	treatment		of this article		PsychInfo	evaluations	limitation is
	models,	This article is	is to examine		databases	have	that the rates
	treatment	a review of	alternative		were	improved in	of
	outcomes,	traditional	approaches to		performed	recent years"	participation
	abstinence,	approaches	enhance		using various	(p. 359). Also	in continuing
	relapse, self-	for alcohol	treatment		combinations	interventions	care and
	help groups,	and other	retention in		of key words:	that had a	retention rates
	12-step	drug	both initial		Alcoholism,	longer	were
	model,	disorders,	and	This article	alcohol	duration of at	relatively
	continuing	continuing	continuing	reviews	dependence,	least 12	low. The
	care, long-	care and	care. "Many	studies of	substance	months or in	magnitude of
	term care,	summary of	patients drop	continuing	dependence,	which greater	the observed
	alternative	evidence for	out of initial	care	continuing	efforts were	effects varied
	treatment,	effectiveness.	treatment or	interventions	care, step-	made to reach	substantially
	treatment	This article	do not	for persons	down care,	and engage	between
	research. No	also reviews	complete	with alcohol	stepped-care,	patients	studies and
	funding	new models	continuing	and other	disease	appeared to	sometimes
	source	of extended	care" (p.	drug use	management	be more	was relatively
	identified.	treatments.	356).	disorders.	and aftercare.	effective.	small.
	lucilitieu.	treatments.	550).	disorders.	and aftercare.	chiective.	Siliali.

	1	1	1	1	1	r	
					The research		
					participants		
					represented		
					two 12 step		
					fellowships.		
					The research		
					participants		
					had been		
					involved with		
					their		
					respective		
					groups for		
					over 3 years		
					-		
					and attended		T 1
					an average of		Themes
					18 meetings		identified
					per month.		included
					Following		themes of
					each		connectedness,
					interview a		support,
					transcription		opportunities
					was created		to learn
					and		including
					forwarded to		articulation
					each research		skills. The
					participant		authors
					who had an		suggest the
					opportunity to		themes
					modify		identified
					his/her		invite use to
					contribution		reconsider
					to the study.	Results: "The	current
					The transcript	themes	understandings
					was then	suggest the	of Self-help
				Research	coded for	benefit	support
				participants	analysis.	associates	groups. "Such
				were between	Three	with	reflection may
				ages 20-29	questions	involvement	give rise to a
				years, the	were	in a 12 step	broader view
				gender	considered:	fellowship	of these
				balance was	What is of	may not be	groups,
# 17. Dadich,				almost equal.	interest here?	synonymous	whereby they
A. (2010).			Purpose of	The sample	Why is this of	with	are valued, not
Expanding			this study is	was a cohort	interest? Why	improved	only for their
our			to explore	of 17 young	am I	outcomes on	role in
understanding			experiences	people all of	interested in	substance	reducing
of self-help	Key words:	Qualitative	and	whom	this? Themes	abuse but	substance use,
support	Self-help,	interpretive	perceptions	experienced	of interest	may offer	but also in
groups for	substance	methodology.	of younger	substance use	were grouped	other gains	fostering
substance use	use, support	Semi-	people with	issues and	together and	that are	connectedness,
issues.	groups, 12-	structured,	substance	had been	these codes	important to	support and
Journal of		open-ended	abuse issues	involved in a	were	those who	learning
•	step, fellowships.	interviews of	who were	12 step	continually	experience	-
Drug Education,	-	12-step	involved in	fellowship to	revised to	substance use	opportunities
	No funding	.		address these			among group
<i>40</i> , (2). 189-202.	source identified.	participants. Level VI.	12 step		ensure a	issues" (p.	participants"
202.	identified.		fellowship.	issues.	degree of	198).	(p. 198).

				consistency within each	
				within each	
				code and	
				reduce	
				duplication	
				duplication across the	
				across the	
				codes.	
	1	1	1	1	

# 18. Moos, R.H. (2008). Active ingredients of substance us- focused self- help groups. Addiction, 103, 387-396.	Key words: Abstinence, bonding, coping, goal direction, self-efficacy, self-help, structure. Funding Sources: Department of Veterans Affairs Health Services Research and Development Service Funds and NIAAA Grant.	This paper provides an overview of some of the probable active ingredients of self-help groups in light of four related theories. This is a qualitative study-Review study and a Level VI.	The purpose of this paper its o provide an overview of some of the probable active ingredients in focused-self- help groups The four main theories of social control theory, social learning theory, Behavioral economics or behavioral choice theory and stress and coping theory are described.	Ingredients of self-help groups are discussed including consideration of indices of Alcoholics Anonymous affiliation as active ingredients.	The author used these four theories and categorized the aspects of self-help groups.	Key active ingredients specified by social control, social learning, behavioral choice and stress and coping theories are listed in table I of this article.	"There is reasonable evidence to indicate that support, goal direction and structure, abstinence- oriented norms and role models, involvement in alternative rewarding activities and a focus on self-efficacy and coping skills are some of the active ingredients responsible for the positive influence of SHG's" (p. 394).
--	---	--	--	--	---	---	---

	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
#19. McCartney, D. (2010). Staying off the bottle: Maintaining recovery from alcohol addiction through mutual aid. <i>Healthcare</i> <i>Counseling</i> <i>and</i> <i>Psychotherapy</i> <i>Lournal</i> 10	CINAHL with Full Text. Key words: Alcoholics Anonymous, Recurrence, Prevention and control, Substance abuse, therapy. No funding	Research design: case study. This article explores the concept of mutual aid and looks at the current status in the United Kingdom and "the evidence base for its effectiveness and outlines practical techniques that professionals can adopt to enhance the benefits of mutual-aid to clients" (p. 9). Level of evidence is level III case studies in the four Tiered levels of evidence table (Houser & Oman	(See section C also). "White makes a strong case for a joined up approach between treatment professionals and mutual- aid recovery groups which includes seeing professional treatment as an adjunct to mutual aid groups rather than the other way around"	Population is persons with alcohol addiction and members of mutual-aid	This paper presents a case study of "Lain" aged 33 who has had a history of problematic drinking since bis early	The outcome for this one case study is that two years since his admission to AA, he has maintained his recovery by continuing to attend AA meetings and is involved as a literature secretary in his home	The author concludes that practitioners need to know something about the evidence based philosophy and content of the A A
Journal, 10,	funding	& Oman,	way around"	mutual-aid	his early	his home	of the AA
	0		-				
(4). 8-13.	source listed.	2011).	(p. 9).	groups.	teenage years.	group.	program.

						Addiction	
				D		Severity	
				Patients were		Index	
				recruited from a detox		European Version	
				unit in the		(EuropASI)	
				Addiction		was used and	
				Unit in		the Survey of	
				Norway. The		Readiness for	
				final sample		AA	
				included 139		Participation	
				patients (89%		(SYRAAP)	
				of eligible		measured the	
				respondents).		patients'	
				Eligible patients had		perceived severity of	
				an alcohol or		the substance	
				drug use		problem and	
				disorder, did		the patients'	
				not receive		of the	
				opioid		relevance of	
				maintenance		TSG's to their	
				treatment, remained in		problem. These were	
				the detox unit		measured	
				sufficiently		with the	
				long for		perceived	
				assessment,		benefits and	
				were	Descriptive	perceived	
			Objectives:	discharged to	statistics were	barriers	
			Explore patient	their homes and had	computed for all variables.	items. The <i>intent to</i>	
			perceptions of	access to at	Contingency	attend AA/NA	"Overall our
			the benefits	least one TSG	table analysis	was rated	findings
		Single	and barriers	within 30 km	and Chi-	with two	suggested
		descriptive	of TSG's at	of their home.	square or	questions on	that a
#20		study (Level	admission to	Exclusion	non-	a 7-point	majority of
Vedherhus,		VI). The	a 1. (criteria were	parametric	Likert scale.	patients could
J., Timko, C., Kristensen,		researchers analyzed	detoxification unit (detox)	severe psychiatric	tests were used to	"Respondents saw more	potentially be motivated to
O., &		factors	and	co-morbidity	explore	advantages	attend TSH's
Clausen, T.	Medline	associated	investigate	and an	associations	than	with a
(2011). The	database. Key	with the	the	inability to	between the	disadvantages	relatively
courage to	Words: 12-	intention to	relationship	complete a	intention to	in TSG	simple
change:	step,	participate in	between	structured	participate in	participation,	strategy. The
Patient	Substance	TSG's post	patient	interview	TSG's and	but only 40%	primary
perceptions	Use Disorder,	discharge	perceptions of	(severe	independent	of patients	strategy
of 12-step fellowships.	TSG. Funding by	with contingency	TSG's and the intention to	somatic symptoms,	variables. A multivariate	showed high intentions of	would be to highlight the
BMC Health	the	tables and	participate in	cognitive	ordinal	participating	potential
Services	Norwegian	ordinal	these groups	disability, or	regression	in TSG's post	gains of
Research, 11,	Research	regression	following	language	analysis was	discharge" (p.	participation"
(339).	Council.	analysis.	discharge.	problems).	performed.	1).	(p. 7).

		1	T				
#21. Dutra, L., Stathopoulou, G., Basden, S.L., Leyro, T.M., Powers, M.B., & Otto, M.W. (2008). A Meta- analytic review of psychosocial interventions for substance use disorders. <i>American</i>	Medline Database. Key words: Substance Use Disorders (SUD), interventions, meta- analysis, treatments. Funding Source: Grant from National Institute of Drug Abuse and an additional		Study Objective: According to the authors, the relative success of psychosocial interventions has not been well documented therefore the authors provide effect sizes for various types of psychosocial treatments as well as abstinence and treatment retention rates for cannabis, cocaine, opiate, and polysubstance abuse and	Population: treatments for patients with cocaine, opiates, cannabis, and	"Randomized, controlled, clinical trials were selected for inclusion in this meta- analysis. PsycINFO and MEDLINE databases were searched. (Page 181 lists the detailed inclusion criteria for the studies). The authors identified a total of 34 well- controlled treatment conditions, representation	"Overall, controlled trial data suggest that psychosocial treatments provide benefits reflecting a moderate effect size according to Cohen's standards" (p. 179). The interventions were the most efficacious for cannabis use and least efficacious	"Effect sizes for psychosocial treatments for illicit drugs ranged from the low- moderate to high- moderate range depending on the substance disorder and treatment under study" (p. 179). The authors concluded that current evidence suggests the average patient undergoing psychosocial interventions achieves acute outcomes better than approximately 67% of
	-	Meta					
<i>Psychiatry,</i> 165, 179-187.	Supplement award.	Analysis Level I	treatment trials.	abuse and dependence.	of 2, and 340 patients.	polysubstance use.	control conditions.

	•	1	•	1	1		r
						Measures of	
						substance use	
						behaviors and	
						problems	
				Study sample		were taken	
				was drawn		for the	
				from drug-		previous 90	
				-		days. Urine	
				dependent			
				patients		screening was	
				recruited to		conducted on	
				the National		patients from	
				Treatment		programs	
				Outcome		selected	
				Research		randomly on	
				Study.		a one in two	
				Participants		basis at intake	
				were		and at 1 year	
				recruited		follow-up.	
				from 23		"Abstinence	
				agencies. The		from opiates	
				study sample		was increased	
				was drawn		throughout	Conclusions:
				from an		the 5-year	NA/AA can
						•	
				eligible		follow-up	support and
				follow-up	T	period	supplement
				sample of 255	Interviews	compared to	residential
				patients. At 2	were	pre-treatment	addiction
				years 202	conducted at	levels. Clients	treatment as
# 22 Gossop,				patients	intake, 1 year,	who attended	an aftercare
M., Stewart,				(79%) were	2 years and 4-	NA/AA after	resource.
D., &				interviewed	5 years	treatment	"The
Marsden, J.				and at 4-5	follow-up.	were more	improved
(2007).				years 178 or	Data were	likely to be	alcohol
Attendance at				70% were	collected by	abstinent	outcomes of
narcotics				interviewed.	structured	from opiates	NA/AA
anonymous			Aim to	Almost all or	interviews.	at follow-up"	attendees
and			investigate	91% were	The intake	(p. 119).	suggests that
alcoholics'			the	interviewed at	characteristics	There was no	the
anonymous			relationship	either follow-	and problems	overall	effectiveness
meetings,	Medline		between	up point.	of the follow-	change in	of existing
frequency of	database. Key		frequency of	Criteria for	up sample	alcohol	treatment
· ·	-				· ·	abstinence	
attendance	Words:		attendance at	agency	and the		services may
and substance	Aftercare,		NA and AA	participation	remainder of	after	be improved
use outcomes	alcohol,		meetings and	included	the clients	treatment but	by initiatives
after	heroin,		substance	location of	from the	clients who	that lead to
residential	Narcotics		abuse	the service	eligible	attended	increased
treatment for	Anonymous,	Research	outcomes	and capacity	sample	NA/AA were	involvement
drug	treatment	design is a	after	to recruit a	(n=113) were	more likely to	and
dependence:	outcome and	longitudinal	residential	sufficient	compared	be abstinent	engagement
A 5-year	12 steps. No	prospective	treatment of	number of	using logistic	from alcohol	with such
follow-up	funding	cohort design.	drug	cases to the	regression	at all follow-	groups" (p.
study.	sources listed.	Level IV.	dependence.	project.	analysis.	up points.	119).
		1	1 1 1	1 J .			/

				This review			
				was limited to RCT's			
				treating			
				primarily substance-			
				dependent			
		Review of four treatment		samples. Treatment			Conclusions: "Th addiction
		approaches.		studies for			treatment research
		Review was limited to		substance abuse and			field is coming up against the
	Medline.	RCT's treating		brief			limitations of the
	Key words:	primarily		interventions			psychotherapy
	behavioral treatments,	substance- dependent		in non- treatment	Searches		technology mode as the dominant
	mechanisms	samples.		seeking	were		paradigm guiding
#23.	of change,	When possible		samples were	conducted		treatment
Morgenstern,	substance	the authors		excluded.	on standard		research. It is
J., & McKay, J.R. (2007).	use disorders and	chose meta- analytical		Studies of primary	search engines	The authors	important for addiction
Rethinking	treatment	reviews, then		alcohol,	(medline)	write this	treatment
the	process.	comprehensive		stimulant and	on internet	review	researchers to
paradigms	Grants from	reviews that	"The paper	marijuana	search	suggests weak	explore
that inform	the National	fell short of	identifies	dependence	engines and	support for	alternative
behavioral	Institute on	meta-analysis	several	as well as	by	the	conceptualization
treatment research for	Alcohol Abuse and	and lastly the authors	testable assumptions	mixed samples were	contacting colleagues	technology model of	and methodologies in
substance use	the National	conducted	of the	included but	to inquire	psychotherapy	order to
disorders.	Institute on	their own	psychotherapy	excluded	about any	research i.e.	understand more
Addiction,	Alcohol	review across	technology	were primary	relevant in	Randomized	clearly how
102, 1377-	Abuse and	studies, Level	model" (p.	opiate	press	controlled	treatment works"
1389.	Alcoholism.	one.	1377).	dependence.	papers.	trial.	(p. 1377).

	1				
#24. Donovan, D.M. & Wells, E.A. (2007). Tweaking 12 step the role of 12-step self-help group involvement in methamphetamine recovery.	Medline. Keywords: 12- step. Alcoholics anonymous, cocaine anonymous, crystal meth anonymous, methamphetamine narcotics anonymous, recovery, self- help, treatment. Funding from the Cooperative Agreement as part of the National Institute on Drug Abuse Clinical Trials Network. U.S. National	Review of the literature on outcomes associated with 12-step meeting attendance and involvement with 12-step activities, particularly	Aim is to determine from a review of the available literature the extent to which involvement in 12- step mutual support groups could play a role in the recovery process for individuals abusing or	Population is individuals abusing or	There are few if any data available on meth abusers and their use of 12-step approaches. "Evidence derived from work with alcohol and cocaine- dependent individuals indicates that involvement in 12-step self-help groups both attending and engaging in 12-step activities is associated with reduced substance use and improved
recovery. Addiction, 102,	U.S. National Institutes of	particularly those who	abusing or dependent on	abusing or dependent on	improved outcomes"
(1). 121-129.	Health.	abuse meth.	methamphetamine.	methamphetamine.	(p. 121).

,							
	1	1	1	1	'	1	
	1	1	1	1	'	1	
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1	1	1	Synthesis	'	1 /
	1	1	1	1	methods: the	1	"Drug-
	1	1	1	1	information	'	dependent
	Medline. Key	1	1	1	from the	'	trial subjects
	Words:	1	1	1	randomized	1	are a
	selection bias,	1	1	1	trials was	1	minority of
	randomization,	1	1	1	summarized	'	all drug
	eligibility	1	1	1	under three	1	patients seen
	criteria.	1	1	1	headings. 1.	'	in real-world
	Funding by	1	1	Selection	The number	1	clinical
	the Norwegian	1	1	process: 5888	of potential	1	practice. It is
	Institute for	1	1	articles from	subjects	'	necessary to
	Alcohol and	1	1	Medline	available for	'	improve the
#25.	Drug Research	1	1	Cochrane	recruitment.	1	reporting of
Melberg,	and he Health Economics	1	1	Library, studies	2. Those that	1	these
H.O. & Humphrays	Research	1	1	initially indexed as RCT's. From	were excluded as	"The trials	potential problems in
Humphreys, K. (2010).	Programme at	1	The aim of	these 173 RCT's	ineligible	reviewed	randomized
R. (2010). Ineligibility	the University	A total of 98	this study is	were selected	according to	excluded an	trials.
and refusal to	of Oslo. One	clinical trials	to examine	dealing with	the criteria	average of 29	Systematic
participate in	of the authors	were drawn	the extent to	psychosocial	for	% of	reviews of
randomized	also received a	for analysis	which drug-	and	participation	potential	the literature
trials of	Career	from a	dependent	pharmacological	in the	subjects as	ought to use
treatments	Research	systematic	patients are	treatment of	experiment.	ineligible, a	this
for drug	Scientist	review of the	ineligible for	drug use. Final	3. Individuals	further 29%	information
dependence.	award from	drug	or unwilling	selection 98	who refused	of the eligible	to distinguish
Drug and	the U.S.	dependence	to participate	RCT's published	to participate	subjects were	reliable from
Alcohol	Department of	treatment	in	in journals that	in the	unwilling to	less reliable
<i>Review</i> , 29,	Veterans	literature.	randomized	specialize in	randomized	participate".	findings" (p.
193-201.	Affairs.	Level I	clinical trials.	substance abuse.	experiment.	(p. 193).	193).

					Questionnaire		
					data were		
					analyzed		
					using SPSS.		
					The socio-		
					demographic		
					data were		
				Population was	summarized		
				inpatient	using		
				multidisciplinary	frequencies		
				staff members	and		
				from one sector	percentages.		
				of a mental	The DDPPQ		
#26.			The purpose of	health Trust.	(Drug and		Key
Howard, V.			this study was to	This included	Drug		findings of
&			identify key	one assessment	Problems		this study
Holmshaw,		Study design:	themes from	and admissions	Perceptions		have found
J. (2010).		Mixed	multidisciplinary	ward, five	Questionnaire)		that staff
Inpatient		methods	staff regarding	mental health	scores were		who had
staff		approach to	their views and	treatment wards	assessed using		received
perceptions		obtain both	experiences	and three	means and		training
in providing		quantitative	working with	residential	standard		held less
care to		(questionnaire	mental health	mental health	deviations.		negative
individuals		survey) and	patients who use	rehabilitation	Differences of		attitudes
with co-		qualitative	illicit	units. Thirty-six	scores		towards
occurring		(interview	substances. This	percent response	between		illicit
mental	Medline.	study) data.	study was	rate was	different		substance
health	Keywords:	Level VI	"designed to	achieved from a	groups of	Outcome	users
problems	attitudes,	(evidence	explore factors	distribution of	participants	measures	regardless
and illicit	co-	obtained from	which	270	were tested	included the	of their
substance	occurring	a qualitative	participants felt	questionnaires.	using	DDPPQ	length of
use. Journal	substance	study). The	helped and	The interview	ANOVA and	(Drug and	clinical
of	use,	quantitative	hindered them in	study was	Unrelated	Drug	work
Psychiatric	inpatient	portion of this	working with	comprised of in-	Samples t-test.	Problems	experience
and Mental	staff. No	study was a	inpatient service	depth interviews	Statistical	Perceptions	or type of
Health	funding	non-	users with these	with ten	significance	Questionnaire	work
Nursing, 17,	source	randomized	co-occurring	multidisciplinary	was taken at	and	setting" (p.
862-872.	listed.	study design.	issues" (p. 864).	staff.	the 5% level.	Interviews.	871).
L	I		u /		L		,

	1	1	1			1	
		This article reviews and					
		synthesizes information about the relationship					
		between formal "addiction treatment"	This article				
# 27 Magura, S. (2007).		and 12-step mutual aid. Level 6	addresses the overlap between				
The relationship between	Medline. 12-	evidence obtained from expert	treatment and 12-step participation,				
substance user treatment	steps, Alcoholics Anonymous,	opinion. Magura is Director of	differences and similarities		Synthesis	"This article	The author proposes a "different
and 12-step fellowships:	mutual aid, addiction	Science and Research at	between these two		methods: The author	concludes with a proposed re-	scheme to classify
Current knowledge and research	treatment, recovery, spirituality.	National Development and Research	approaches and poses pertinent		compares formal treatment	conceptualization of the relationship between formal	intervention models for addictive and
questions. Substance Use and	Funding by a grant from the National	Institutes, New York City. (See	questions that could be answered by	The population addressed is	and 12-step programs (Principles,	treatment and 12- step mutual aid that may help in	other substance use-related
<i>Misuse, 42,</i> 343-360.	Institute on Drug Abuse.	notes in column J).	additional research.	substance users.	practices and processes).	structuring future research" (p. 343).	behaviors" (p. 354).

	Forty nine of
	51 study
	participants
	attended a
	follow up visi
	three months
	after the 6-
	month
	treatment pha
	completed the
	survey
	questionnaire.
28 Frick,	Fifteen patien
K.M.,	visited a self-
Loessi < B., This	help group,
Brueck, explorative	four patients
R.K., Survey Data	took part in th
Ktiston, investigated collection	alcoholism-
R.K., clients' was	specific
Jaehne, A., evaluation of conducted by	psychotherap
Riemann, therapy means of a	(ASP) and ten
D., Gann, elements and semi-	patients
H., Batra, other structured	received some
A., Wodarz, supportive questionnaire	other
N., Mann, factors comprising	nonspecified
K.F. & within a questions	external
Berner, randomized developed by	therapy.
M.M. controlled the authors	Results showe
(2011). trial. In the Interview of the second secon	that participar
What works Medline. "Project Helping The severity of for notion to Name Name Allion on	valued the
for patients Keywords: PREDICT Alliance addiction was	regular low ke
in outpatient alcohol was a 6-year Questionnaire measured with the (UAO) in the Cormon varian of	interactions
treatment for addiction, randomized (HAQ) in the German version of carmon the Structural	provided by
alcohol outpatient double-blind (Also see German the Structural dividing) translation (Clinical Interview)	MM (medical
addiction?treatment,and placebosection C).translation.Clinical InterviewAntherapycontrolled"Of specificPart of thefor DSM III-R	management)
	as an importation and effective
	intervention. 1
	seems to
clients'factors,Germantherapythree areas ofDisordersevaluation ofsubjectivestudy centerselementsinquiry:Identification Test	suggest that
subjective assessment. and were Subjective (AUDIT).	even a
factors and Supported consisted of perceived as assessment of "Pharmacotherapy	medication
therapy by a grant two particularly A total of 427 treatment was rated	only therapy
satisfaction. from the consecutive effective participants elements significantly less	for alcohol
satisfaction.from theconsecutiveeffectiveparticipantseffective thanSubstanceGermanRCTs in aand ifwere recruited(SUFA),effective than	dependence
	should always
	include regula
Research Ministry of model" (p. identified detoxification factors management) and	
Research andMinistry of Educationmodel" (p.identified identifieddetoxification programs atfactors (SUFA) and amanagement) and "global study	low-key
Research Ministry of model" (p. identified detoxification factors management) and	

#29 Walitzer, K.S., Dermen, K.H., & Barrick, C. (2009). Facilitating involvement in Alcoholics Anonymous during out- patient treatment: a randomized clinical trial.	Medline database. Key words: Alcoholics Anonymous, alcoholism treatment, mediation, motivation enhancement, randomized controlled trial, treatment outcomes. Funding support	Randomized Controlled trial with assessments at baseline, end of treatment and 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after treatment.	Aim: Evaluate two strategies to facilitate involvement in AA (A 12- step-based directive approach and a motivational enhancement approach during skills- focused	Participants a total of 169 alcoholic out- patients (57 women) assigned randomly to one of three conditions: a directive approach to facilitating AA, a motivational enhancement approach to facilitation AA or treatment as usual, with no special emphasis on AA. Criteria: surpassed 6th grade, no legal or employer mandate for treatment, willing to attend 12 weekly sessions, drinking during the last 3 months, no IV drug use in the past 3 months	Clients were recruited from the outpatient Clinical Research Center at the Research Institute on Addictions in Buffalo New York. Of the 297 eligible callers, 224 presented for an intake interview, yielding intent to treat sample of 169 individuals. All clients received a 12 session manualized skills-based	Three alcohol involvement dependent variables were evaluated including the DrinC (Drinker Inventory of Consequences). "Participants exposed to the 12-step directive condition for facilitating AA involvement reported more AA meeting attendance, more evidence of active involvement in AA and a higher percentage of days abstinent relative to participants in the treatment as usual comparison	"These results suggest that treatment providers can use a 12-step based directive approach to effectively facilitate involvement in AA and thereby improve client
clinical trial.	support	treatment.	focused	past 3 months	skills-based	comparison	client
Addiction,	included a	Level II level	individual	and at least	treatment	groups (p.	outcome" (p.
104, 391-401.	NIH Grant.	of evidence.	treatment.	18 years old.	package.	391).	391).

							I
							"Contrary to
					Patients		the study
					excluded		hypothesis,
					from the	The main	having a
					study were	outcome	primary care
		Research			those who 1.	variable was	physician and
		design:			Reported	readiness to	exposure to
		Retrospective			receiving	change drug	violence was
		analysis of			professional	behavior and	not
		secondary			alcohol	was measured	significantly
		data collected			counseling	by the	associated
		from a			within the	"Readiness to	with drug
		sample of ED			past 12	Change	behavior" (p.
		patients at the			months, 2.	Ruler".The	56). The
		King Drew			Showed signs	two main	findings
		Medical			of cognitive	independent	revealed that
		Center in			impairment.	variables	ED patients
		CAD. Data			3. Required	were 1.	who had
		was analyzed		Population	immediate	Having a	health
		using SPSS		size 198	medical	primary care	insurance
		software and		Emergency	treatment that	provider and	were <i>less</i>
		descriptive		Department	prevented	2. Exposure	likely to
		statistics		Patients at	them from	to violence.	report a
		(ANOVA).		least 18 years	being	Other	readiness to
		Multiple		old seeking	interviewed	variables	change drug
		linear		ER services,	or were in	were: number	use behavior.
		regression		using at least	police	of illicit drug	One possible
		analysis was		one illicit	custody. For	use, injuries	explanation is
		used to		drug and	the current	related to	that patients
		examine the		scoring	study the	drug use and	who had
		independent		positive for	criteria was	readiness to	health
		impact of		alcohol	also limited	change	insurance had
		predictor		problem	to patients	alcohol	more access
		variables on		based on	who reported	behavior.	to regular
		the outcome		CAGE score	using at least	ANOVA	care and
		measure,		greater than	one type of	showed four	therefore did
		adjusting for		or equal to 1.	illicit drug	variables as	not feel the
#31. Frausto,		the		Participants	within the	significantly	need to
		confounding		were eligible		associated	
K.A., & Bazargan		(socio-		if at least 18	past 12 months. This	with	change. "Other
Bazargan- Hejazi, S.	Medline	demographic		years old,	narrowed the	readiness to	possibility
(2009). Who	database.	variables). A		presented to	study sample	change	could be that
is ready to	Keywords:	p-value of <_		the ED,	to 198	alcohol	these patients
change illicit	illicit drug	to 0.05 was		spoke	patients who	behavior:	due to having
drug	use, readiness	considered to	Objective:	English or	both had an	(Drug use,	health
behavior: An	to change,	be	"To identify	Spanish and	alcohol	health	insurance had
Emergency	emergency	statistically	emergency	were	problem and	insurance,	more access
Department	department.	significant.	department	identified as	reported	drug-related	to regular
Study.	-	Level IV	-	having	using at least	-	care and
•	Funding was	(evidence	patients who	-	-	injuries, and readiness to	therefore
Substance	provided by	•	are ready to	problem	one type of		
Abuse:	the National	obtained from	change their	drinking	illicit drug within the	change	didn't feel the
Research and	Institute on	a single	illicit drug use behavior"	using CAGE	last 12	alcohol	need to
<i>Treatment</i> , <i>3</i> , 53, 60	Drug and	descriptive		screening		behavior).	change" (p.
53-60.	Alcoholism	study).	(p. 53).	questions.	months.	<i>p</i> <0.05.	59). Other

		possible explanation is that these patients were using less severe drugs.

		×							
# 32.		e study.		n size six	-		main	lke	and
Shinebour		The data		female	asked to		themes	metaphor	Johnson
ne, P. &		for this		participan	bring to		are	s, may	(1980)
Smith,		article		ts.	the		presented	provide a	present
J.A.		were	"This	Potential	interview		: 1. I see	"safe	metaphor
(2011).		drawn	article	participan	some		things	bridge" to	s as one
Images of		from a	focuses	ts were	artwork		through	express	of our
addiction		study of	on visual	informed	made		different	feelings	most
and		six	represent		during			that might	
recovery:	psycINF	female	ations of	advance	their		relates to	be too	tools for
An	1.2		subjective	that they	engagem		the	painful to	trying to
interpreta		ts	experienc	-	ent in		represent	address	comprehe
tive	Key	recruited	es of the	asked to	creative		ation of	directly"	nd patially
phenome	words:	through	process	draw	activities	"The	recovery	(p. 319).	what
nological	Addiction,	U	of	during the	provided	analysis	at	One	cannot be
analysis	life	programs.	recovery	interview.	•	comprise	present.	limitation	comprehe
of the	experienc	x 0	from	This	agency at	d both the	2. "I	of this	nded
experienc	es,	were	addiction	study	some	drawings	couldn't	study is	totally:
e of	recovery	analyzed	and the	focused	stage in	and the	see	that the	our
addiction	(disorders	using	meanings	on one	their	transcript	anything	request ot	feelings,
and		interpreta	that	participan	recovery.	s, moving	on the	draw as	aestetic
recovery	adulthood,	tive	participan	t's	Drawing	between	horizon"	part of an	experienc
as	middle	phenome	ts attritute	artwork.	materials	the image	shows	interview	es, moral
expressed	age. No	-	to their	At the	were	and the	images	may	practices
in visual	-	analysis.	visual	time of	provided.	correspon	U U	evoke	and
images.	-	Level VI		the	•	*	symbolize	anxiety	spiritual
Drugs:	listed.	(evidence	×.	interview	an	e	her sense		awarenes

							American	
							recovery	
							circles	
							constitute	
							the	
							earliest	
							abstinenc	
	psycINF						e-based	
	0						mutual	
#33.	database.						aid	
White,	Key						recovery	
W.L.(200	words:						societies	
4).	Keyword						between	
Addiction	s:		The				1737 and	This
recovery	addiction,		purpose				1840,	article
mutual	alcohol	Essay	of this	N/A (this			messianic	(essay) is
aid	rehabilitat	using a	essay is	essay			Native	interestin
groups:	ion,	literature	to review	concerns			Leaders	g and
An	communit	review of	the	mutual			achiev	informativ
enduring	У	the	history of	aid			ed	e reading
internatio	services,	history of	mutual	groups			sobriety	on the
nal	recovery	mutual	aid	for			through	historical
phenome	(disorder)	aid	groups in	persons			profound	backgrou
non.	. No	groups.	connectio		This is		conversio	nd of
Addictio	funding	Level of	n with	addicted	not an		n like	mutual
n, 99.	support	evidence	AA	to	actual		experienc	aid
532-538.	listed.	VII.	groups.	alcohol).	study.	N/A	es" (p.	groups.

						authors	authors		
						write that			
						the	indentifyi		
			"How do			medical	ng		
			addiction			model	aternative		"Perhaps
			treatment			shoud be	s to		more
	psyINFO		programs			the model	•		importantl
	Keyword		integrate			for	approach		у,
#34.	s:		the			addiction	es as		addiction,
Condon,	addiction,		expectati			treatment	another		being a
T.P., et	discharge		on of			services.	area of		chronic
al.	policies,		relapse			"With	real	One	progressi
(2011).	drug		into drug			other	research	major	ve
Patient	abuse		abuse			diseases,	need.	strength	disease,
relapse in	treatment,		treatment			when	"Little	of this	like
the	relapse.		? This			patients	evidence	comment	diabetes
context of	The		article			exhibit	exists of	ary is the	or heart
drug	authors		serves as			the	persistent	inclusion	disease,
abuse	declare		a thought			central	efforts to	of	may
treatment	no		piece to			symptoms	treat	research	result in
. Journal	sources		pose			of the	patients	needs on	significant
of	of support		questions			disease	who	relapse	morbidity
Addictiv	other than	Comment	rather			during	relapse	and	and death
e	that	ary.	than		Comment	treatment,	repeatedl	administr	if present
Medicin	affiliated	Level of	definitive		ary not a	it	y or	ative	and left
e, 5, 157-	with their	evidence	solutions"		study	generally	chronicalll	discharge	untreated
162.	salaries.	VII.	(p. 157).	N/A	design.	calls for	y" (p.	•	" (p. 158).

					· · · · ·				
					author	writes			
					lists 5	while			
	psycINFO				broad	these two			The
	Alcohol				contextual	reviews	Rush calls		limitation
	abuse,				factors	focus on	for		of this
	decision				that the	the	improved		article is
	making,				reader of	interventi	education		that of
	interventi				these two	ons.	al		course it
	on,				reviews	"someone	curricula		is not a
#36 Rush,	mental				must	has to	and		study but
B. (2012).	disorders,				consider	engage	continuing	Rush	instead a
Α	treatment				when	the client	education	writes	"guest
perspectiv	,				reading	and	programs.	that there	editorial"
e on the	effectiven				and these	deliver	"To effect	is a	therefore
effectiven	ess,				reviews.	them with	а	significant	it is not
ess of	evaluation				1. The	competen	populatio	gap	high on
interventi	. Salary		Comment		trend in	ce,	n-level	between	the level
ons for	and		ary on		the last	respect	impact,	what	of
alcohol	support		two		few years	and	substance	interventi	evidence
and other	provided		papers in	This	"in most	empathy"	use	ons we	table.
substance	by the		a Review	article is	specialize	(p. 340).	treatment	know	That said,
use	Ontario		Series in	not a	d	3.	must	work and	this article
disorders.	Ministry		this	stand	treatment	"Intervent	become	what is	has
Canadian	of Health		Canadian	alone	settings	ions	everyone'	routinely	significant
${\it Journal}\ of$	and Long	Guest	Journal of	study but	had been	covered in	S	delivered	concepts
Psychiatr	Term	Editorial.	Psychiatr	an	toward a	the 2	business"	in practice	to
y, 57, (6).	Care.	Level VII.	у.	editorial.	diverse,	reviews	(p. 340).	settings.	consider.

#37, Hien, D.A., Jiang, H., Campbell, A.N.C., Hu, M., Cohen, L.R., Brigham, G.S., Capstick, C., Kulaga, A., Robinson, J., Suarez-Morales, L., & Nunes, E.V. (2010). Do treatment improvements in PTSD severity affect substance use outcomes? A secondary analysis from а Randomized clinical trial in NIDA's clinical trials network. American Journal of Psychiatry, 67, (1), 95-101.

Secondary analysis from RCT. Medline. Participants Key were words: randomly substance assigned to use, RCT, 12 sessions PTSD, of either treatment. trauma-National focused or Institute health on Drug education Abuse treatment. Grant. Level two.

Objective: The purpose of the analysis was to examine the temporal course of improvement in symptoms of PTSD and substance use disorder among women in outpatient substance abuse treatment.

Sample size 353 women. Criteria: meeting DSM-IV criteria for PTSD, substance abuse within the last 6 months & a current diagnosis of drug or alcohol abuse or dependence. Age 18-65, proficiency in English. Exclusion criteria: significant risk of suicidal/homicidal intent or behavior. history of schizophrenia, or active past 2 months psychosis.

Seven communitybased treatment programs offering outpatient treatment participated in the study. Statistical analysis by а continuous Markov model and generalized linear model was applied for repeated outcome measures.

Outcome measures included the Addiction Severity Index -Lite and the PTSD symptom scale. Results PTSD improvement was associated with subsequent substance use improvement. "Traumafocused treatment was significantly more effective than health education in achievement substance use improvement but only among those who were heavy substance users at baseline and had achieved significant PTSD reductions.

"PTSD severity reductions were more likely to be associated with substance use improvement with minimal evidence of substance use symptom reduction improving PTSD symptoms" (p. 95).

Limi 40% sam absti base there the f may gene to a prim alcol depe sam coho cons mair wom Rece addi treat may influ

outc

···-·, ···-·,									
D.A.,				size 353		measures		s: 40% of	
Jiang, H.,				women.	Seven	included		the	
Campbell,			Objective:	Criteria:	communit	the		sample	
A.N.C.,			The	meeting	y-based	Addiction	"PTSD	was	
Hu, M.,			purpose	DSM-IV	treatment	Severity	severity	abstinent	"Results
Cohen,			of the	criteria for	programs	Index -Lite	reductions	at	support
L.R.,			analysis	PTSD,	offering	and the	were	baseline,	the self-
Brigham,			was to	substance	outpatient	PTSD	more	therefore	medicatio
G.S.,			examine	abuse	treatment	symptom	likely to	the	n model
Capstick,			the	within the	participat	scale.	be	findings	of coping
C., Kulaga,		Secondary	temporal	last 6	ed in the	Results	associated	may not	with PTSD
A.,		analysis	course of	months &	study.	PTSD	with	generalize	symptoms
Robinson,		from RCT.	improvem	a current	Statistical	improvem	substance	to a	and an
J., Suarez-		Participan	ent in	diagnosis	analysis	ent was	use	primarily	empirical
Morales,		ts were	symptoms	of drug or	by a	associated	improvem	alcohol	basis for
L., &	Medline.	randomly	of PTSD	alcohol	continuou	with	ent with	dependen	integrated
Nunes,	Кеу	assigned	and	abuse or	s Markov	subseque	minimal	t sample.	interventi
E.V.	words:	to 12	substance	dependen	model and	nt	evidence	The	ons for
(2010). Do	substance	sessions	use	ce. Age 18-	generalize	substance	of	cohort	improved
treatment	use, RCT,	of either	disorder	65,	d linear	use	substance	consisted	substance
improvem	PTSD,	trauma-	among	proficienc	model	improvem	use	mainly of	use
ents in	treatment	focused or	women in	y in	was	ent.	symptom	women.	outcomes
PTSD	. National	health	outpatient	English.	applied	"Trauma-	reduction	Receiving	in patients
severity	Institute	education	substance	Exclusion	for	focused	improving	additional	with
affect	on Drug	treatment	abuse	criteria:	repeated	treatment	PTSD	treatment	severe
substance	Abuse	. Level	treatment	significant	outcome	was	symptoms	may have	symptoms
use	Grant.	two.		risk of	measures.	significant	" (p. 95).	influenced	" (p. 95).

#37, Hien, D.A., Jiang, H., Campbell, A.N.C., Hu, M., Cohen, L.R., Brigham, G.S., Capstick, C., Kulaga, A., Robinson, J., Suarez-Morales, L., & Nunes, E.V. (2010). Do treatment improvements in PTSD severity affect substance use outcomes? A secondary analysis from а Randomized clinical trial in NIDA's clinical trials network. American Journal of Psychiatry, 67, (1), 95-101.

Secondary analysis from RCT. Medline. Participants Key were words: randomly substance assigned to use, RCT, 12 sessions PTSD, of either treatment. trauma-National focused or Institute health on Drug education Abuse treatment. Grant. Level two.

Objective: The purpose of the analysis was to examine the temporal course of improvement in symptoms of PTSD and substance use disorder among women in outpatient substance abuse treatment.

Sample size 353 women. Criteria: meeting DSM-IV criteria for PTSD, substance abuse within the last 6 months & a current diagnosis of drug or alcohol abuse or dependence. Age 18-65, proficiency in English. Exclusion criteria: significant risk of suicidal/homicidal intent or behavior. history of schizophrenia, or active past 2 months psychosis.

Seven communitybased treatment programs offering outpatient treatment participated in the study. Statistical analysis by а continuous Markov model and generalized linear model was applied for repeated outcome measures.

Outcome measures included the Addiction Severity Index -Lite and the PTSD symptom scale. Results PTSD improvement was associated with subsequent substance use improvement. "Traumafocused treatment was significantly more effective than health education in achievement substance use improvement but only among those who were heavy substance users at baseline and had achieved significant PTSD reductions.

40% sam absti "PTSD base severity there reductions the f were more may likely to be gene associated to a with prim substance alcol depe improvement sam coho with minimal cons evidence of mair substance wom use symptom Rece reduction addi improving treat PTSD may symptoms" influ (p. 95). outc

use

Limi

#38. Kelly , J.F., Kahl er, C.W. & Hum phrey s, K. (201 0). Asse ssing why subst ance use disor	psycI NFO keyw ords: Alco holic s Ano nym ous, self- beln			Sample for t VA substanc were invited interview (E Criteria: mee between age suicidal or h active psych	te Abuse Dia to participa ight individu et an ICD-9 s of 18 and omicidal int	sorder treatm te in a brief uals declined diagnosis fo 65. Exclusio ent, organic	nent. 68 pers assessment d to participa r SUD and n criteria: cu	sons ate). urrent		"Thi s meas ure coul d serve as a usef ul	Limi tatio ns: the sam ple
disor der	help, grou			Hien,				size 353		ul scree	ple ifs
patie nts	ps,			D.A.,				women	"Thi	ning tool	relat
drop	mutu al-			Jiang, H.,			Objective:	Criteria	s stud	for	ively smal
out	help,			Campbell,			The	meeting	у	barri	l and
from	addic		This	A.N.C.,			purpose	DSM-I	deve	ers	cons
or refus	tion, subst		stud y	Hu, M.,			of the	criteria	lope d	to 12-	isted of
e to	ance		deve	Cohen,			analysis	for	and	step	all
atten	abus		lope	L.R.,			was to	PTSD,	teste	parti	male
d 12-	e.		d	Brigham,			examine	substan	d a	cipat	adult
step	Fund		and teste	G.S.,		Secondar	the	abuse	meas	ion and	veter
mutu al	ing by		d a	Capstick,		y analysis		within t	ure of	subs	ans. "The
help	the		meas	C.,		from	course of		reas	eque	re
grou	Depa		ure	Kulaga,		RCT.	improvem		ons	ntly	may
ps: The	rtme nt of		of reas	A.,		Participan	•	a curre	for non-	focu s 12-	be othe
"RE	Vete		ons	Robinson,		ts were	symptoms		parti	step	r
ASO	rans'		for	J., Suarez-	Medline		• •	of drug	cipat	facili	reas
NS"	Affai		non-		Key	assigned	and	alcohol	ion	tatio	ons
quest ionna	rs Healt		parti cipat	L., &	words:	to 12	substance		and drop	n effor	for non-
ire.	h		ion	L., & Nunes,					out	ts or	atten
Addi	Servi		and	E.V.	substance		use diaondon	depend	from	infor	danc
ction Data	ces	Qua	drop		use, RCT,		disorder	ce. Age	12-	m	e or
Rese arch	Rese arch	litat ive	out from	. ,	PTSD,	trauma-	among .	18-65,	step Mut	refer ral to	drop out
and	and	Stu	12-	Do	treatment		women in	•	ual	non-	not
Theo	Deve	dy	step		. National		outpatient	•	Help	12	capt
ry, 18	lopm	Des	Mut	improvem			substance	e	Grou	step	ured
18, (3).	ent Depa	ign. Lev	ual Help	ents in	on Drug	treatment		Exclusi	ps" (p.	MH G's"	in this
316-	rtme	el	Grou	PTSD	Abuse	. Level	treatment		(p. 316)	(p.	mea
325.	nt.	VI.	ps.	severity	Grant.	two.	•	significa	•	316).	sure.

Outcome measures included the associated with subsequent substa education in achievement substar achieved significant PTSD

Reductions.

							1	
						Weiss,		
#37, Hien,						R.D.,	psycINF	
D.A., Jiang H., Campbe						Griffin,	O Key	
A.N.C., Hu						M.L.,	words:	
M., Cohen,	,					Kolodziel,	bipolar	
L.R.,						M.E.,	disorder,	
Brigham, G.S.,						Greenfiel	comorbidi	Randon
Capstick, C	.,					d, S.F.,	ty, drug	ed
Kulaga, A.,					~	Najavits,	dependen	controll
Robinson, J Suarez-	••,				Seven community-	L.M.,	cy, group	trial
Morales, L.	,				based	Daley,	counselin	compar
& Nunes,				Sample size 353	treatment	D.C.,	g. Grants	20 weel
E.V. (2010) Do treatmen				women. Criteria: meeting DSM-IV	programs offering	Doreau,	from the	of
improveme			Objective:	criteria for PTSD,	outpatient	H.R., &	National	integrat
in PTSD			The purpose	substance abuse	treatment	Hennen,	Institute	group
severity affe		Secondary	of the analysis was	within the last 6 months & a	participated in the	J.A.	on Drug	therapy
outcomes?		analysis	to examine	current diagnosis	study.	(2007). A	Abuse,	or group
secondary		from RCT.	the temporal	of drug or alcohol	Statistical	randomiz	and a	drug
analysis fro		Participants	course of	abuse or	analysis by	ed trial of	grant	counsel
a Randomize	Key d words:	were randomly	improvement in symptoms	dependence. Age 18-65, proficiency	a continuous	integrated	from the	g with 3
clinical trial	substance	assigned to	of PTSD and	in English.	Markov	group	Dr. Ralph	months
in NIDA's	use, RCT,	12 sessions	substance	Exclusion criteria:	model and	therapy	and	posttrea
clinical trial network.	ls PTSD, treatment.	of either trauma-	use disorder among	significant risk of suicidal/homicidal	generalized linear	versus	Marian	ment
American	National	focused or	women in	intent or behavior,	model was	group	C. Faulk	follow-
Journal of	Institute	health	outpatient	history of	applied for	drug	Medical	Levelo
Psychiatry, 67, (1), 95-	on Drug Abuse	education treatment.	substance abuse	schizophrenia, or active past 2	repeated outcome	counselin	Research	evidenc
101.	Grant.	Level two.	treatment.	months psychosis.	measures.	g for	Trust.	Level I
				1 2				

Weiss,			-	n persons	-	primary	Conclusio	strengths:	
R.D.,	psycINF		bipolar	with the	were	outcome	ns:	Unusually	
Griffin,	O Key		disorder	diagnoses	randomly	measure	"Impaired	high	
M.L.,	words:		and	of both	assigned	was the	group	degree of	
Kolodziel,	bipolar		substance	bipolar	to	number	therapy, a	data	
M.E.,	disorder,		use	disease	integrated	of days of	new	complete	
Greenfiel	comorbidi	Randomiz	frequently	and	group	substance	treatment	ness and	
d, S.F.,	ty, drug	ed	co-occur,	substance	therapy	use. The	developed	the use of	
Najavits,	dependen	controlled	there is	use.	or group	primary	specificall	manual	This is an
L.M.,	cy, group	trial	little	Among	drug	mood	y for	based	interestin
Daley,	counselin	compared	informatio	105	counselin	outcome	patients	treatment	g study
D.C.,	g. Grants	20 weeks	n on the	potential	g. Groups	was the	with	s and	because
Doreau,	from the	of	effectiven	participan	began	number	bipolar	video	we have
H.R., &	National	integrated	ess of	ts who	within 2	of days ill	disorder	taped	several
Hennen,	Institute	group	behaviora	met the	weeks of	with a	and	sessions	patients
J.A.	on Drug	therapy	1	initial	each	mood	substance	with	attending
(2007). A	Abuse,	or group	treatment	criteria 62	other.	episode.	dependen	ongoing	our
randomiz	and a	drug	for this	were	Five	Intention	ce,	supervisio	support
ed trial of	grant	counselin	population	randomly	cohorts of	to treat	appears	n to	groups
integrated	from the	g with 3		assigned,	group	analysis	to be a	ensure	who have
group	Dr. Ralph	months of	"Integrate	31 failed	treatment	revealed	promising	treatment	both
therapy	and	posttreat	d group	to	were	significant	approach	quality	bipolar
versus	Marian	ment	therapy,	complete	conducte	ly fewer	to reduce	and	disease
group	C. Faulk	follow-up.	which	the	d for	days of	substance	adherenc	and
drug	Medical	Level of	addresses	assessme	each	substance	use in this	e. "Study	substance
counselin	Research	evidence	the two	nt	modality,	use for	population	limitations	use
g for	Trust.	Level II.	disorders	process	with five	integrated	" (p. 100).	include	disorder.

		,			••••		,	
Weiss,		Although	n persons	patients	primary	ns:	strengths:	
R.D., psycINFO		bipolar	with the	were	outcome	"Impaired	Unusually	
Griffin, Key		disorder	diagnoses	randomly	measure	group	high	
M.L., words:		and	of both	assigned	was the	therapy, a	degree of	
Kolodziel, bipolar		substance	bipolar	to	number of	new	data	
M.E., disorder,		use	disease	integrated	days of	treatment	complete	
Greenfield comorbidi	Randomiz	frequently	and	group	substance	developed	ness and	
, S.F., ty, drug	ed	co-occur,	substance	therapy or	use. The	specificall	the use of	
Najavits, dependen	controlled	there is	use.	group	primary	y for	manual	This is an
L.M., cy, group	trial	little	Among	drug	mood	patients	based	interestin
Daley, counseling	compared	informatio	105	counseling	outcome	with	treatment	g study
D.C., . Grants	20 weeks	n on the	potential	. Groups	was the	bipolar	s and	because
Doreau, from the	of	effectiven	participan	began	number of	disorder	video	we have
H.R., & National	integrated	ess of	ts who	within 2	days ill	and	taped	several
Hennen, Institute	group	behavioral	met the	weeks of	with a	substance	sessions	patients
J.A. on Drug	therapy or	treatment	initial	each	mood	dependen	with	attending
(2007). A Abuse,	group	for this	criteria 62	other.	episode.	ce,	ongoing	our
randomize and a	drug	populatio	were	Five	Intention	appears to	supervisio	support
d trial of grant	counseling	n.	randomly	cohorts of	to treat	be a	n to	groups
integrated from the	with 3	"Integrate	assigned,	group	analysis	promising	ensure	who have
group Dr. Ralph	months of	d group	31 failed	treatment	revealed	approach	treatment	both
therapy and	posttreat	therapy,	to	were	significant	to reduce	quality	bipolar
versus Marian C.	ment	which	complete	conducted	ly fewer	substance	and	disease
group Faulk	follow-up.	addresses	the	for each	days of	use in this	adherence	and
drug Medical	Level of	the two	assessme	modality,	substance	• •	. "Study	substance
counseling Research	evidence	disorders	nt process	with five	use for	n" (p.	limitations	use
for Trust.	Level II.	simultane	and 12 did	to eight	integrated	100).	include	disorder.

#39. Blondell, R.d.,	Pub Med	RCT	The	150	Particip	The	"We	It is
Frydrych, L.M.,	database.	Level II.	purpose	study	ants	primary	conclude	possible
Jaanimagi, M.S.,	Keywords	The	was to	participa	were	outcome	that	that
Ashrafioun, M.A.,	:	authors	determin	nts were	random	was the	MET	sampling
Homish, G.G.,	alcoholis	randomi	e if the	recruited	ly	initiatio	during	biases
Foschio, E.M., &	m,	zed 150	addition	from	assigne	n of	detoxific	may
Bashaw, B.A.	detoxificat	detoxific	of a	patients	d to	mutual	ation	limit the
(2011). A	ion,	ation	behavior	who had	either	self-help	may	effects of
randomized trial of	interventio	patients	al	been	the	meeting	provide	these
two behavioral	ns,	to	intervent	hospitali	TAU,	attendan	addition	intervent
interventions to	therapeuti	receive	ion	zed for	MET or	ce (i.e.	al	ions.
improve outcomes	cs,	treatmen	during	the	P-TSF	at least	benefits	"The

			1 1 1	1' 1			• .	•
following inpatient	outcome	t as	alcohol	medical	groups	one	in terms	major
detoxification for	assessmen	usual	detoxific	manage	and	meeting	of	differenc
alcohol dependence.	t.	(TAU),	ation	ment of	fidelity) or	initiating	e
Journal of Addictive	Funding:	a	would	alcohol	to the	professi	and	between
Diseases, 30, (2).	Grant	Motivati	facilitate	withdra	interven	onal	maintain	these
136-148. doi:	from the	on	initiation	wal	tion	outpatie	ing	retrospec
10.1080/10550887.2	National	Enhance	of	syndrom	was	nt	patients	tive and
011.554777	Institute	ment	subsequ	e.	monitor	counseli	in	prospecti
	on	Therapy	ent care.	Criteria	ed by	ng (i.e.	aftercare	ve
	Alcohol	(MET)		for	one of	at least	inpatient	studies
	abuse and	intervent		inclusion	the	one	treatmen	was
	Alcoholis	ion or a		: at least	member	session)	t	sample
	m, and a	Peer-		18 years	s of the	or an	program	selection
	grant from	delivere		of age,	study	admissi	s" (p.	. The
	the	d		understa	team.	on to	136).	retrospec
	University	Twelve		nding of	Categor	either an	There	tive
	of Buffalo	Step		English,	ical	inpatient	were no	studies
	Interdiscip	Facilitati		resided	variable	or a	significa	evaluate
	linary	on (P-		in the	s were	residenti	nt	d all
	Research	TSF)		metropol	compar	al	differenc	patients,
	Fund.	intervent		itan area,	ed	rehabilit	es for	whereas
		ion.		had	using	ation	the rates	the
				physicia	the	facility	of	prospecti
				n	Fisher	within	relapse	ve
				consent	exact	30 days	to	studies
				for study	test.	of	alcohol	included
				entry, and were	Means	hospital	use	only
					and SD's	discharg	(about	those
				able to		e	50%) or illicit	who
				give informed	were calculat			were willing
				consent.	ed for		drug use (about	and able
				Patients	continu		(about 25%)	to
				were	ous		among	provide
				excluded	measur		the 3	informed
				if they	es and		groups	consent
				had	compari		at any	and
				previousl	sons		follow-	excluded
				y refused	were		up	certain
				to	conduct		interval.	"high
				participa	ed			risk"
				te, or	using			sub-
				were	one			populati
				homeless	way			ons (e. g.
					analysis			homeless
				enrolled	of)" (p.
				in a	varianc			145).
				methado	e.			p. 145)
				ne	"Overal			Also
				maintena	l event			since the
				nce	free			services
				program,	survival			provided
				under	(i.e.			by TAU
				the	total			are
				custody	abstine			extensiv
				of law	nce			e, it

		enforce	from		could be
			alcohol		that the
		ment, or			effects of
		unable to	and all		
		provide	drugs)		any
		informed	was		additiona
		consent.	calculat		1 brief
			ed		intervent
			accordi		ion may
			ng to		be
			the		negligibl
			Kaplan-		e. Some
			Meier		patients
			method.		who are
					receiving
					treatmen
					t for
					alcohol
					withdra
					wal
					demonstr
					ate
					memory
					problems
					. "It may
					be that
					the
					intervent
					ions
					were
					effective
					but the
					study
					was
					underpo
					wered to
					detect
					the effect
					given the
					variance
					observed
					" (p.
					145).
					was underpo wered to detect the effect given the large variance

	-	-		-		-	-	
#40.	Medline.	Seconda	Aim as a	Thirteen	Substan	Ways	In this	The small
Kuper,	Keywords:	ry data	secondary	female	ce use	of	secondary	sample size
L.E.,	behavior	analytic	data	participan	was	Copin	analysis,	especially in
Gallop, R.,	therapy,	study.	analysis to	ts were	assessed	g was	we found	the GDC
&	coping		examine	recruited	at	a self-	that while	group is a
Greenfield	behavior,		changes in	for the	baseline	report	changes in	limitation. The
, S.F.	drug abuse,		coping	pilot	,	measu	coping did	results
(2010).	drug		and	phase of	monthly	re	not differ	reinforce the
Changes in	rehabilitati		outcomes	the WRG	during	used.	significant	importance of
coping	on,		among	study.	treatme		ly among	treating
moderate	treatment		women	"The pilot	nt		women	coping as a
substance	outcomes.		receiving	phase	(months		randomize	multidimensio
abuse	Funding		either	included	1-3) and		d to either	nal construct.
outcomes	support		WCG	23 female	post		single	
differential	grants from		(Women's	participan	treatme		gender or	
ly across	the		Recovery	ts who	nt		mixed	

behavioral	National	Group)	were	(Months	gender	
treatment	Institute on	GDC	randomize	, 4-6	SUD	
modality.	Drug	(Group	d either to	and 9)	treatment,	
The	Abuse	Drug	WRG	using	the	
American		Counselin	(n=16) or	the	relationshi	
Journal on		g). The	GDC	Timelin	p between	
Addictions		aim was to	(n=7).	e	changes in	
, 19, 543-		assess	Data from	Follow-	certain	
549		whether	pre-pilot	Back	types of	
		changes in	and pilot	techniqu	coping	
		coping	rounds of	e	and	
		during	WRG		treatment	
		treatment	were		outcomes	
		were	collected		were	
		associated	for the		dependent	
		with	purpose		on	
		substance	of the		treatment	
		use	study.		outcome"	
		outcomes	Eligibility		(p. 547).	
		and	criteria: at		The	
		whether	least 18		correlatio	
		these	years of		n between	
		potential	age, meet		Increases	
		relationshi	criteria		in	
		ps differed	for		problem-	
		Based on	current		focused	
		treatment	substance		coping	
		condition	Dependen		and	
		(WRG or	ce		increases	
		GDC).	according		in	
			to the		drinking	
			DSM-IV,		days	
			have used		found in	
			alcohol or		the CDC	
			drugs in		group	
			the last 60		were	
			days and		unexpecte	
			be		d.	
			available			
			for			
			follow-up.			
			"Individu			
			als with			
			со-			
			occurring			
			bipolar,			
			psychotic			
			or PTSD			
			were			
			excluded.			

Appendix B Logic Model

Project purpose is to examine an educational program's effectiveness in reducing stigmatizing attitudes of Healthcare professionals towards persons with substance abuse addictions.

Problem Identification

Substance abuse stigma is a significant barrier for assessing health care and substance abuse treatment services (2011). Substance abuse disorders are considered chronic, reoccurring diseases.

Inputs	Constraints	Activities	Outputs
	1.	1. Project Plan for	1.
1. DNP	Timeframe	completion of Project.	Presentatio
Student and	2. Budget	2. Obtain IRB approval	ns at
Faculty	3.	3. Obtain letters of	LCCC and
Staff Time	Available	approval from LCCC	CRMC for
2. RN students	Computer	and CRMC	RN nursing
and RN's in	and	4. Complete extensive	students
the Nurse	physical	literature review.	and RN's
Residency	space.	5. Obtain scheduling	in the RN
program	4.	dates at	residency
3. Copies of	Willingnes	6. LCCC and CRMC.	program.
pretests and	s of	7. Compile data and	2. Data
posttests	students to	input data into SPSS.	obtained
4. Computer	participate.		and
and	5.		analyzed.
audiovisual	Scheduling		3. Final
Equipment.	available		capstone
5. Classrooms	for		paper
and	presentatio		completed.
available	ns at		4.
time for	LCCC and		Capstone
presentation	CRMC		PowerPoin

s.	t
6. PowerPoint	completed
Presentation	and
	presented.

Outcomes

Short Term	Long Term	Impact
 Increase in knowledge and empathy for persons with substance abuse addictions. Exposure of RN's to concepts of stigma in Substance Abuse Addictions Professional interactions with faculty and RN's during presentations. 	 Long Term Decrease and or elimination of stigma towards persons with substance use addictions. Stimulate interest of RN's in psychiatric nursing. Increase empathy, and quality of psychiatric nursing provided by the health care provides attending. Encourage participants to become advocates of persons with substance abuse disorders in health care policy and government policy decisions. Encourage formation of therapeutic relationships with patients of health care providers. 	 Impact Deceased stigma towards persons with substance abuse addictions. Reduced rates of relapse in this population of substance use addictions and increased quality of life. Transition and integration of this population back into the community. Community education on substance abuse addictions and community involvement.

Appendix C Pretest/Posttest

Pretest and Post test

Please do not add any names or other personal identifying information on this quiz. Please circle your answers and choose only one answer for each question.

 Persons with substance abuse disorders abuse the medical health care system. Strongly agree

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

2. Persons with substance abuse disorders have personal control over his/her addiction behaviors.

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

- Substance abusers are weak-willed and lack self-control. Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
- Substance abusers are to blame for their difficulties and should accept personal responsibility.
 Strongly agree
 Agree,
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly Disagree
- I would prefer not to have a person addicted to substance abuse as my neighbor.
 Strongly agree
 Agree
 Neutral

Disagree Strongly Disagree

 The main purpose of mental hospitals should be to protect the public from persons with substance abuse or other mental health problems. Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree

Strongly Disagree

- Most employers will hire someone who has been treated for substance abuse addiction is he/she is qualified for the position.
 Strongly agree Agree Neutral, Disagree Strongly Disagree
- I would be willing to have someone as a close friend who has been treated for substance abuse. Strongly agree Agree Neutral, Disagree Strongly Disagree
- Persons with substance abuse are generally not responsible citizens. Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree, Strongly Disagree

10. Patients with substance abuse disorders are more likely to be aggressive than patients with other medical diagnoses.

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

Appendix D CITI Training

CITI Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI)

CITI Conflicts of Interest Curriculum Completion Report Printed on 9/28/2012 Learner: Shirley Patrick (username: spatrickregis) Institution: Regis University Contact Information PO Box 21254 Cheyenne, Wyoming 82003 United States Department: DNP Email: cheyenneshirleysue@yahoo.com

Conflicts of Interest:

Required Modules	Date Complete d	~
CITI Conflict of Interest Course - Introduction	09/28/12	no quiz
Financial Conflicts of Interest: Overview, Investigator Responsibilities, and COI Rules	09/28/12	10/10 (100%)
Institutional Responsibilities as They Affect Investigators	09/28/12	4/5 (80%)

Stage 1. Stage 1 Passed on 09/28/12 (Ref # 8867784)

For this Completion Report to be valid, the learner listed above must be affiliated with a CITI participating institution. Falsified information and unauthorized use of the CITI course site is unethical, and may be considered scientific misconduct by your institution.

Paul Braunschweiger Ph.D. Professor, University of Miami Director Office of Research Education CITI Course Coordinator

CITI Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI)

The RCR for Social & Behavioral Curriculum Completion Report Printed on 9/28/2012 Learner: Shirley Patrick (username: spatrickregis) Institution: Regis University Contact Information PO Box 21254 Cheyenne, Wyoming 82003 United States Department: DNP Email: cheyenneshirleysue@yahoo.com

The RCR for Social & Behavioral: This course is for investigators, staff and students with an interest or focus in Social and Behavioral research. This course contains text, embedded case studies AND quizzes.

Required Modules	Date Complete d	
Introduction to the Responsible Conduct of Research	09/28/12	no quiz
Research Misconduct 2-1495	09/28/12	4/5 (80%)
Case Study - Truth or Consequences 2-1217	09/28/12	3/3 (100%)
Case Study - In the Field, No One Will Know 2-1218	09/28/12	3/3 (100%)
Case Study Plagiarism 2-1472	09/28/12	2/2 (100%)
Human Subjects 13566	09/28/12	5/5 (100%)

Stage 1. RCR Passed on 09/28/12 (Ref # 8867783)

For this Completion Report to be valid, the learner listed above must be affiliated with a CITI participating institution. Falsified information and unauthorized use of the CITI course site is unethical, and may be considered scientific misconduct by your institution.

Paul Braunschweiger Ph.D. Professor, University of Miami Director Office of Research Education CITI Course Coordinator

Learner: Shirley Patrick (username: spatrickregis)

<u>Return</u>

CITI Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative

STIGMA

Appendix E Regis IRB Approval

Dear Ms. Patrick...

The Institutional Review Board has completed a thorough evaluation of your submitted proposal, *Substance Abuse Addictions and Stigma*. I am pleased to inform you that your resubmitted proposal has been approved as an Exempt study per Category # 2. You may begin study implementation and data collection upon receipt of this email. An official letter of approval for your study files will be forthcoming. We truly wish you success with your investigation!

Patsy McGuire Cullen, PhD, PNP-BC

Chair, Institutional Review Board

irb@regis.edu



Cheyenne, WY 82001 307-634-2273 www.crmcwy.org

Institutional Review Board, Regis University Main Hall, Room 452, Mail Code H4 Denver, CO 80221 Email: <u>irb@regis.edu</u>

Re: Shirley S. Patrick, RN, MS, Doctoral Research

September 26, 2013

To whom it may concern:

As the Chief Compliance and Privacy Officer this letter is to serve as notice that Cheyenn Medical Center supports the educational program by Shirley S. Patrick, RN, MS, and entit Abuse Addictions and Stigma". Cheyenne Regional is pleased to support Ms. Patrick in he endeavors.

For this project, Cheyenne Regional understands that Ms. Patrick will be providing educa clinical staff on Cheyenne Regional's Behavioral Health Unit. The staff will take a pre-tes test. We anticipate that if the scope of the project is to change that Ms. Patrick will notif Regional in advance of the change to determine if additional institutional safe guards ne followed.

If you have any additional questions or concerns, please me at (307) 432-6624 or aimee.dendrinos@crmcwy.org.

Thank you	,			
2	1.51	C	0.	\cap \downarrow

Appendix G LCCC Approval Letter



October 18, 2013

Shirley Patrick 507 Sierra Drive Cheyenne, WY 82003

Dear Shirley-

It is so exciting to hear that you are almost through your DNP program. Congratulations! We would be happy to have you come present your PowerPoint on "Substance Abuse and Stigma" to students. I understand that the participants will need to complete the pre and post tests and sign a consent. We don't have class time to show this, but can arrange for a room, technology for your presentation and ask for student and faculty volunteers. I think you should have a fairly good turnout for your presentation.

Thank you for the offer and I look forward to your presentation.

Sincerely,

0 0

Jennifer A. Anderson, MS, RN Director, Nursing Program

1400 East College Drive • Cheyenne, Wyoming 82007 • 307.778.LCCC • lccc.wy.edu

-		Paired Samples Test					
		Paired Differences					
					95% Confidenc Diffe	e Interval of the	
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	
Pair 1	PreTest Abuse the Medical Health System - Post Test Abuse the Medical Health System	.24444	1.04785	.15620	07036	.55	
Pair 2	Pre Test Personal Control of Addiction Behaviors - Post Test Personal Control of Addiction Behaviors	.04444	.82450	.12291	20326	.29	
Pair 3	Pre Test Weak-willed and lack self-control - Post Test Weak- willed and lack self-control	.00000	.63960	.09535	19216	.19	
Pair 4	Pre Test Blame and personal responsibility - Post Test Blame and personal responsibility	.22222	1.20395	.17947	13948	.58	
Pair 5	Pre Test Addiction person as neighbor - Post Test Addiction person as neighbor	.06667	.83666	.12472	18469	.31	
Pair 6	Pre Test Purpose to protect public from persons with addictions - Post Test Purpose to protect public from persons with addictions	11111	.77525	.11557	34402	.12	
Pair 7	Pre Test Employers hiring persons with addictions - Post Test Employers hiring persons with addictions	.28889	.66134	.09859	.09020	.48	
Pair 8	Pre Test Close friend of person with addictions - Post Test Close friend of person with addiction	.31111	.66818	.09961	.11037	.51	

Appendix H Paired Samples Test Paired Differences

STIGMA

Pair 9	Pre Test Generally not responsible citizens - Post Test Generally not responsible citizens	28889	.92004	.13715	56530	01
Pair 10	Pre Test More likely to be aggressive - Post Test More likely to be aggressive	17778	.80591	.12014	41990	.06

SPSS Computer Printout

Appendix I Paired Samples Correlations

Paired Samples Correlations						
		Ν	Correlation	Sig.		
Pair 1	PreTest Abuse the Medical					
	Health System & Post Test	45	540	000		
	Abuse the Medical Health	45	.546	.000		
	System					
Pair 2	Pre Test Personal Control of					
	Addiction Behaviors & Post	45	000	000		
	Test Personal Control of	45	.628	.000		
	Addiction Behaviors					
Pair 3	Pre Test Weak-willed and					
	lack self-control & Post Test	45	754	000		
	Weak- willed and lack self-	45	.754	.000		
	control					
Pair 4	Pre Test Blame and					
	personal responsibility &	45	.288	.055		
	Post Test Blame and	40	.200	.055		
	personal responsibility					
Pair 5	Pre Test Addiction person					
	as neighbor & Post Test	45	.636	.000		
	Addiction person as	45	.030	.000		
	neighbor					
Pair 6	Pre Test Purpose to protect					
	public from persons with					
	addictions & Post Test	45	.605	.000		
	Purpose to protect public					
	from persons with addictions					
Pair 7	Pre Test Employers hiring					
	persons with addictions &	45	.721	.000		
	Post Test Employers hiring	-10	.721	.000		
	persons with addictions					
Pair 8	Pre Test Close friend of					
	person with addictions &	45	.649	.000		
	Post Test Close friend of	.0	.0 10	.000		
	person with addiction					

Paired Samples Correlations

STIGMA

Pair 9	Pre Test Generally not			
	responsible citizens & Post	45	.457	.002
	Test Generally not	40	.457	.002
	responsible citizens			
Pair 10	Pre Test More likely to be			
	aggressive & Post Test	45	.676	.000
	More likely to be aggressive			